ISSUE 03
MAY 2025

AI Journey

The Digital Iceberg

Work has Changed

AI agents aren’t just tools they’re part of the workforce.

People, Not just Platforms

AI is only as effective as the people empowered to use it.

Design a Blended Team

Humans and AI agents work side-by-side.

Trust Starts with Governance

Responsible AI isn’t optional - it’s essential to earn trust and scale safely.

The Future of the Workforce in the AI Era

Foreword

We are standing at the dawn of a new era in the workplace - one where artificial intelligence (AI) is not just a set of tools, but an active participant in our daily work. The rise of **AI "agents"** - software programs that can autonomously perform tasks, make decisions, and collaborate - is redefining what a workforce means. Just as the internet and automation transformed jobs in the past, AI is now poised to augment human workers in unprecedented ways. This e-book explores how organisations and individuals can navigate this transformation, offering a forward-looking yet practical guide to **preparing for an AI-augmented workforce**.

In the chapters that follow, I examine the emergence of AI agents and how they are **reshaping roles and organisational structures**. I discuss how the concept of a workforce now extends beyond human employees to include digital colleagues, and how this requires rethinking everything from skill management to corporate strategy. Key themes include:

The Rise of AI Agents: AI agents are proliferating as autonomous digital workers that handle routine and complex tasks alike. They promise greater efficiency and 24/7 productivity, working alongside humans as reliable assistants rather than mere tools. This chapter defines AI agents and shows why they are essential to the future of work.

The Workforce Redefined: As AI becomes embedded in workflows, human roles are shifting. Employees are evolving from task executors to **AI orchestrators and supervisors**, delegating work to AI agents and focusing on higher-level judgment and oversight. I explore what it means for every employee to manage AI "employees" and how collaboration between humans and AI changes job definitions and performance metrics.

Skill Profiling for Humans and Machines: In this new world, organisations must catalogue and leverage skills across both their human staff and AI agents. I delve into **skill profiling** - the practice of mapping out what people and AI are each capable of - and how it enables the intelligent matching of tasks to the best-suited human or machine. A skills-based approach to talent (human or digital) is crucial for agility.

"Gig" Assignments and the Future of Work: Work is becoming more fluid and project-based. Short-term "gig" assignments aren't just for human freelancers anymore - AI agents, too, can be deployed on-demand for specific tasks or projects. I discuss how the gig economy model extends to AI, allowing organisations flexibility (engaging the right AI for the job only when needed). This has profound implications for the **future of work**, enabling companies to leverage specialised expertise - human or AI - in a scalable, cost-effective way.

- **IT as the New HR:** As AI agents join the workforce, managing them becomes a new organisational capability. IT departments are evolving into a role akin to **HR for AI**, responsible for onboarding, "training" (configuring and updating) AI workers, defining their permissions, monitoring their performance, and eventually decommissioning them when needed. I'll examine how and why technology teams must develop human-resource-like practices for AI to ensure these agents remain effective, secure, and aligned with business goals.
- **Rethinking AI Strategy:** Many companies fall into the trap of building their AI strategy around the technology itself - focusing on tools, models, and algorithms - without first asking what they're trying to achieve. A truly effective strategy flips that mindset. It starts with the why: What fundamental business outcomes are we aiming for? And don't just hide behind doing things you're doing today, but faster. Only once those goals are clear should you define the use cases that support them - and then select the right AI tools to deliver. This chapter offers a practical framework for aligning AI initiatives to meaningful outcomes, avoiding hype, and ensuring your AI investments don't just make things faster, but genuinely make things better.
- **Empowering the Workforce:** For humans to thrive in an AI-augmented workplace, organisations must invest in their people. This means upskilling and reskilling employees to work effectively with AI, addressing fears and building trust, and fostering a culture of continuous learning. I will discuss how to **empower employees** - from improving AI literacy and "prompt fluency" to nurturing the uniquely human skills (creativity, empathy, critical thinking) that become even more valuable as AI takes over routine work. A dynamic partnership between humans and machines requires workers who are prepared and confident.
- **Governance, Trust, and Risk:** Integrating AI deeply into work isn't without challenges. Organisations must establish strong governance to manage AI-related risks - such as bias, errors, security, and ethical concerns - and to maintain trust among employees and customers. I explore why **responsible AI practices** and clear policies are essential. From ensuring transparency in AI decisions to complying with emerging regulations, companies need to close

the current gap in AI oversight (over 80% of executives say governance and skills aren't keeping pace with AI advances, creating risk to security and public trust). Building trust also means being transparent and involving employees in how AI is deployed, so they see it as a reliable colleague, not a mysterious threat.

- **The Future of Jobs and Organisational Design:** Finally, we step back and look at the big picture of how jobs and organisations will evolve. AI will undoubtedly **transform the job landscape** - displacing some roles, changing others, and creating entirely new ones. I'll discuss what this means for organisational design: how companies might restructure teams, redefine roles, and balance a blended workforce of humans and AI agents. The organisations that succeed will be those that redesign themselves to be **adaptive, skill-focused, and resilient**, leveraging AI for what it does best and humans for what they do best.

Throughout this e-book, my goal is to inform and provoke thought, aiming for a **modern, human, and forward-looking perspective**. The idea is to help you envision a future where AI is seamlessly integrated into work, and to offer guidance on how to get there.

By the end, one thing should be clear: **the future of work is not about humans versus machines, but humans and machines together**. In the AI-augmented era, organisations have the opportunity to amplify human potential - automating the tedious so people can focus on what truly matters. Realising this potential will require preparation, openness to change, and a steadfast focus on people. The journey may be complex, but it's an exciting one.

Chapter 1. The Rise of AI Agents

AI agents are emerging as the cornerstone of the new workforce. But what exactly are "AI agents"? In simple terms, an AI agent is a software program or algorithm that can **autonomously perform tasks or make decisions on behalf of a user or system**. Unlike traditional software that executes predetermined routines, AI agents are often powered by machine learning and can adapt their actions based on context and data. They range from chatbots that converse with customers, to background systems that process invoices or monitor IT networks without human intervention. In essence, an AI agent is like a digital employee: it has certain skills or functions, it can be assigned work, and it delivers outputs with minimal (or no) direct supervision.

The concept of AI agents has been around in various forms - think of early **robotic process automation (RPA)** bots that automate repetitive clicks, or virtual assistants like Siri and Alexa. What's different now is the level of intelligence and autonomy these agents possess, and their integration into core business processes. Today's AI agents leverage advanced techniques like natural language understanding, computer vision, and logical reasoning. They can communicate with other systems (and even with each other), string together complex sequences of actions, and handle exceptions by learning from experience. For example, an AI agent in a customer support workflow might autonomously pull up relevant account information, perform troubleshooting steps, and only escalate to a human agent if it encounters an issue outside its expertise.

This rise of AI agents is fuelled by rapid advancements in AI, particularly in **generative AI and large language models**, as well as the availability of vast data and computing power. Major tech platforms are releasing frameworks to deploy swarms of specialised AI agents. ServiceNow, for example, has introduced an **AI Agent Orchestrator** that can coordinate "teams" of AI agents across tasks and departments, with thousands of pre-built agents covering IT, HR, customer service and more. Similarly, other enterprise software companies are embedding AI agents into their products to automate workflows. What this means is that organisations will soon have a **"limitless, made-to-order supply of digital labour"** at their disposal - software workers that can scale up on demand to handle work.

The benefits of AI agents are compelling. They excel at **high-volume, repetitive tasks** and can operate 24/7 without fatigue, reducing backlogs and freeing human employees from drudgery. In fact, studies find that a large portion of everyday tasks in businesses are administrative and repetitive: one analysis found nearly two-thirds of potential automation use cases were in routine data processing, compliance checks, and reporting. AI agents are perfectly suited to take over these "invisible" yet essential tasks that keep organisations running. By offloading such work to machines, companies can **free up human workers for more creative, strategic, and interpersonal activities**. For example, instead of manually compiling weekly reports, an employee could rely on an AI agent to generate the report, and then spend their time analysing insights and making decisions. The AI agent becomes a tireless assistant, handling grunt work in the background.

It's important to note that AI agents come in different forms and levels of sophistication. Some are **conversational agents** (like chatbots or virtual customer assistants) that interact with humans via text or voice. Others are **transactional agents** that perform specific operations within applications (for example, an AI agent in a HR system that automatically updates employee records, or an AI in IT that resets passwords and configures accounts). There are also **cognitive agents** that can handle complex reasoning, such as scheduling, planning or anomaly detection in

data. What they share is the ability to perceive information (from user input or system data), decide on an action using their programmed intelligence, and then execute that action - all with minimal human guidance. In effect, these agents **extend the workforce** by taking on tasks that previously required human labour.

One hallmark of this trend is that AI agents are increasingly working **alongside humans as collaborators** rather than hidden in the back-office. We see this in tools like GitHub's Copilot for software developers, which acts as an AI pair-programmer generating code suggestions; or in sales teams using AI assistants to log meeting notes and recommend next steps. In many fields, a human-AI duo can accomplish more together: the human provides guidance and handles exceptions, while the AI agent provides speed and consistency in execution. Microsoft's Work Trend Index refers to this as a new **"hybrid workforce"** of human-agent teams, where AI agents act as on-demand personal assistants integrated into the flow of work.

Crucially, AI agents are not here to replace all human work, they are here to amplify and augment it. When designed and deployed correctly, these agents take on tasks that humans shouldn't have to waste time on, and in doing so they enable people to focus on what humans do best. Companies at the forefront of this movement recognise that **the most effective workforce is not the largest, but the smartest and most adaptive** – a synergistic mix of humans and AI.

To summarise, the rise of AI agents marks a fundamental shift in how work gets done. We are moving from a paradigm of humans using static tools, to one of humans **managing dynamic AI collaborators**. In the following chapter, we'll explore how this shift is redefining roles and responsibilities in the workplace. As AI agents proliferate, the nature of everyone's job will evolve, often in surprising ways. The workforce of tomorrow will be a blend of human and artificial agents, each doing what they excel at. Understanding this new relationship is key to thriving in the AI era.

Chapter 2. The Workforce Redefined

The traditional notions of job roles and team structure are being upended by the integration of AI into daily workflows. As AI agents rise in prominence, the relationship between human workers and machines is fundamentally changing. Rather than simply doing tasks themselves, **employees are increasingly becoming managers and coordinators of AI "employees."** In other words, the workforce is being redefined as a collaborative ecosystem of humans and AI agents, where each plays a distinct role. The modern employee's job is shifting from executing every task manually to **orchestrating the execution of tasks by AI** and ensuring the outcomes are correct and valuable.

Think about a typical day for a knowledge worker in an AI-enhanced company a few years from now. She might start by reviewing a list of routine tasks that her personal AI assistant completed overnight - maybe drafting responses to common customer emails, or compiling data for a report. She then delegates a set of new tasks to various AI agents: one agent to pull metrics and generate a dashboard, another to transcribe and summarise the team's recent meeting recordings, and perhaps another to scan social media for customer feedback. As these AI agents work in parallel, the human worker monitors the outputs: she checks the dashboard for accuracy and insight, tweaks the meeting summary to add a human touch, and decides which social media findings require a personal response. If an AI's output isn't up to par, she sends it back for refinement or intervenes directly. In essence, **she's managing a small team of digital workers.** This scenario is quickly becoming reality in forward-thinking organisations.

Every employee, in effect, becomes a **"player-coach"** with respect to AI. You still contribute your own human expertise, but you are also coaching your AI counterparts - guiding them with instructions (like good prompts or configurations), providing feedback on their work, and determining when to step in or escalate an issue. The docile machine that just follows orders is giving way to more independent partners that need supervision and guidance much like a junior staff member would. This requires new skills and mindsets for human workers. For example, employees will need to develop *AI literacy* and even a bit of a **managerial mindset toward technology**. Being able to effectively instruct an AI agent via prompts or settings ("prompt fluency") and to review its performance critically is now a valuable competency. In the past, computer literacy meant knowing how to use software; in the AI era, it also means knowing how to get the best results from your AI assistants - essentially, how to **collaborate with a non-human colleague**.

Importantly, this human-AI collaboration introduces new **accountability models**. When an AI agent makes a mistake or a decision, who is responsible? Likely, the human overseeing it still bears responsibility for the outcome. This dynamic is similar to a manager being accountable for their team's work. Organisations will need to clarify how accountability and credit are shared between humans and AI. For example, if an AI agent prepares an analysis that a human employee then uses to make a recommendation, the employee must verify that analysis and is accountable for the final recommendation. Such **checks and balances** will be critical to maintain trust and quality. In fact, being a good "AI supervisor" will become a formal part of performance in many roles - i.e. employees will be evaluated on how well they leverage AI tools (did they catch errors? are they improving productivity with AI assistance?).

The metrics of productivity and performance are also likely to change. Traditionally, an employee might be judged on the volume of work they personally produce. In a

future where AI agents handle a chunk of that production, the metric might shift to the **effectiveness of the human in using AI to deliver results**. This could include measures like how many successful task outcomes their team of AI agents achieved under their guidance, or how much they increased output by integrating AI. Some have coined terms like *"collaborative fluency"* to describe the proficiency of a human-AI team - essentially, how well a person and their AI systems work together. There are suggestions that organisations will need to redefine KPIs to capture this synergy. Instead of just counting how many tasks an employee completed, we might consider how skilfully they orchestrated resources (both human and AI) to meet objectives.

To adapt to these changes, **upskilling the workforce** is paramount. Companies must help employees transition into these new kinds of roles. Training programmes are emerging around topics such as **AI delegation and oversight** - teaching employees when to hand off a task to an AI, and how to verify the results. "Prompt engineering" workshops are becoming common, ensuring workers know how to communicate effectively with AI systems (for example, how to phrase a query to get a useful report from a generative AI). There's also a growing emphasis on soft skills like adaptability, critical thinking, and ethical judgment, since employees will need to handle novel situations that AI might not cover and make judgment calls on AI outputs. All of this contributes to empowering employees to feel confident managing AI-driven processes.

Let's consider a tangible example: customer support. In the past, a support agent might handle 50 calls a day personally. In the redefined model, that agent might oversee a fleet of AI-powered chatbots that handle routine inquiries. The human steps in only for complex or sensitive cases that the bots can't resolve. The agent's role changes from answering every question to **monitoring conversations**, training the bots with new answers or corrections, and diving in when needed to assist the customer personally. That agent might manage five chatbots, enabling the company to serve hundreds of customers concurrently. The agent's value is now measured by how smoothly and effectively those AI systems run under their supervision, and how well they can tackle the thorny issues that require human empathy or creativity. The end result is a hybrid model where the **human provides quality control and emotional intelligence, while the AI provides speed and scale**.

This redefinition of roles also means that **organisational hierarchies and team compositions may evolve**. We may see teams that formally include both human and AI members. For example, a project team could list two human analysts and two AI agents as contributors to a deliverable. Managers might convene meetings where an AI agent presents part of the update (through a generated report or voice summary) before the humans discuss and decide. It might sound futuristic, but in some cutting-edge companies, this is already happening. AI can attend meetings in

the sense of transcribing notes and tracking action items, essentially acting as an **automated chief of staff** for the group.

One interesting aspect of this shift is the **democratisation of management experience**. If every employee is effectively managing AI assistants, then even junior staff are gaining management-like responsibilities early in their career (albeit managing machines). This could flatten structures, as individuals become more autonomous in handling tasks with the help of their AI. It also means leadership skills - such as giving feedback, setting clear objectives, and making judgment calls - will be required more broadly, not just in formal manager positions. In a way, *"leading AI"* could become as important as leading people.

Of course, not all workers will embrace these changes immediately, and not all jobs will transform at the same pace. There will be an adjustment period where organisations must support employees. It's crucial that companies communicate that AI agents are there to **assist, not replace**. When employees see AI taking over part of their tasks, some may fear for their jobs or feel their skills are devalued. Change management and transparency are key here. Leaders should involve employees in AI adoption - perhaps by letting them pilot new AI tools and give input - so that they develop a sense of trust and agency over the AI. In fact, a recent survey by Workday found that while a majority of business leaders and employees are excited about AI's potential, over 45% of employees remain sceptical that AI will be used responsibly by their organisations. Building that trust requires clear demonstration that AI is being implemented to make employees' work better, not to watch over their shoulders or unfairly evaluate them.

In summary, the workforce redefined means **every worker's role profile is evolving**. We are all becoming, to some extent, *"AI managers"* - delegating to and supervising intelligent machines. This is changing the mix of skills needed, shifting accountability paradigms, and altering how we measure success. The most successful professionals in the coming years will likely be those who can effectively **blend their human expertise with AI capabilities**, creating a symbiotic working relationship. They will be orchestrators, quality controllers, and innovators, using AI as a force-multiplier for their talents. As we proceed, the next crucial piece to examine is how organisations can know *which* tasks to give to AI and *which* to reserve for humans. This is where **skill profiling** comes in, which we'll explore in the next chapter.

Chapter 3. Skill Profiling for Humans and Machines

In a hybrid workforce of humans and AI agents, one of the biggest challenges is figuring out the optimal division of labour. Which tasks should be handled by AI, and which by people? Who (or what) is best suited for a given job? The answer lies in understanding the **skills** of your workforce - both the human employees and the AI agents. This is where **skill profiling** becomes invaluable. Skill profiling is the practice of cataloguing and classifying the capabilities, competencies, and expertise of a worker. Traditionally, HR departments maintain skill profiles or competency maps for employees (think of an internal resume that lists an employee's skills like "Java programming," "Project management," "Customer negotiation," etc.). Now, that concept is being extended to AI agents as well: organisations are starting to maintain **skill profiles for their AI** - a detailed inventory of what each AI system or agent can do.

Why is this necessary? Because with potentially dozens or hundreds of AI agents performing different functions, you need a way to **match tasks to the right agent**, just as you would match a task to the right employee. If an AI agent has the "skill" of, say, document summarisation, you want to route summarisation tasks to it. If another agent is trained in processing invoices, financial tasks should go its way. This idea mirrors human resource allocation: in a company, when a task arises, managers look for an employee with the right skill set to handle it. Similarly, an **AI orchestrator** or management system can look at the skill tags of available AI agents and pick the one best suited for a new assignment. For example, ServiceNow uses this approach in its platform - tagging AI agents with specific capabilities like "summarise a case," "update a change request," or "extract information from a document". When a particular task needs doing, their AI Agent Orchestrator checks the required skill and assigns the task to the agent that has that skill, in what ServiceNow calls a "gig assignment".

Let's break that down: suppose an incoming support ticket needs summarisation to quickly route it to the right department. The system identifies that "summarisation" is the needed skill. It then looks at the pool of AI agents and finds one (or several) that have "summarise text" in their skill profile. It assigns the task to that AI agent, essentially treating it like a gig job for the AI to complete. If the task instead required translating text from Spanish to English, the orchestrator would look for an agent with the translation skill. This is analogous to how a gig work platform might find a freelancer with the appropriate skills for a short project - except it all happens within milliseconds among AI workers inside the organisation.

On the human side, skill profiling has become more important than ever as well. Many organisations are moving toward a **skills-based talent model**, where they focus less on formal job titles and more on the skills individuals possess. This helps in assigning internal talent to projects dynamically and in identifying skill gaps for training. In the context of human-AI collaboration, having a clear view of human skills is crucial to decide where humans add the most value. Generally, human workers will shine in skills that AI currently lacks - these often include **soft skills** (communication, empathy, leadership), **creative thinking**, **strategic analysis**, and tasks requiring **moral or ethical judgment**. AI agents, on the other hand, have skills in areas like **data processing, pattern recognition, high-speed computation, and specialised domain knowledge**.

By profiling both, an organisation can create something like a **"skill matrix" for humans and machines**. This matrix might list skills on one axis and the available resources (humans or AIs) that have those skills on the other. It then becomes a powerful decision tool: for each new job or project, managers can look at the matrix and decide the mix of human and AI resources to deploy. If a task requires empathy and complex negotiation (e.g., handling an upset client), the matrix would show that these are human strengths and no AI agent is tagged with those, so a human should lead the task. If a task requires crunching millions of data points or searching a large database for patterns, the matrix might indicate an AI agent has that skill in spades, so it should be assigned to the AI with minimal human involvement.

This approach fosters a **complementary workforce**. Instead of redundancy or competition between humans and AI, each does what it is best at. A clear understanding of skills prevents underutilisation of either party. For example, you wouldn't want a human employee spending hours cross-checking data between two systems if an AI with "data comparison" skills can do it in seconds. Conversely, you wouldn't deploy an AI to craft a marketing strategy for a new product, because strategy requires cross-domain understanding, creativity, and instincts that AIs (as of now) don't possess. The skill profile makes these distinctions explicit.

Also, skill profiling helps with **training and improvement**. For human employees, identifying needed skills can inform upskilling programmes (e.g., noticing that no one on a team has a certain data analysis skill might lead to training someone or hiring for that skill). For AI agents, their skill profile might initially be limited, but organisations can choose to "upgrade" their skills by retraining models or adding new capabilities. For example, if an AI agent currently can only classify support tickets by topic, the company might later train it also to detect sentiment - effectively adding a skill ("sentiment analysis") to its profile. Documentation of AI skills also aids in **knowing the limits** of each AI. It's akin to knowing the job description of an employee - you wouldn't ask the payroll administrator to design a new logo; similarly,

don’t ask the expense-processing AI agent to generate creative sales copy if that’s not in its skill set.

An emerging idea is the notion of a **unified skills repository** or **skills ontology** for the enterprise that covers both humans and AI. Some HR tech platforms and AI management systems are likely to converge here. Imagine a system where when you search for a skill like “French language proficiency,” it might return a list of human employees who speak French *and* an AI translation model that can translate French documents. This way, project managers or automated workflows can pull in the right resource regardless of whether it’s a person or a piece of software. We’re already seeing early steps in this direction: companies like Spire.ai and others are working on AI-driven platforms that maintain dynamic skill profiles of employees (tracking what training they’ve done, what projects gave them new skills, etc.), and it’s not far-fetched that similar profiling is applied to AI agents.

Skill profiling also ties into performance evaluation. For humans, multi-dimensional skill profiles allow for more tailored career development - employees can be matched to gig opportunities or roles that fit their skill set, which increases engagement and growth (for example, an internal marketplace might suggest “gig assignments” to employees based on their skill profile, as we’ll discuss in the next chapter). For AI agents, tracking their skills and performance in each can inform decisions like: Should we retrain this agent to improve its summarisation skill? Is this AI becoming outdated in a certain domain (and thus its skill rating should be downgraded or it should be “retired”)?

Interestingly, as AI agents become more prevalent, **human workers might start listing AI-related skills in their own profiles too** - such as “proficient in using AI agent X for data analysis” or “skilled at coordinating multi-agent workflows.” This reflects that working with AI is itself a skill. We could see job postings that mention experience with certain AI platforms or the ability to manage AI outputs as required competencies.

In a broader sense, skill profiling highlights the shift towards a **skills-based economy**. Work is increasingly seen not as fixed jobs held by specific people, but as a flexible matching of skills to needs. If an AI can supply a needed skill, it becomes part of the workforce equation. This fluid view can increase organisational agility: when a new challenge arises, leaders can rapidly assemble a team of humans and AI by selecting by skills. It doesn’t matter whether a critical skill comes from a person or a machine, as long as it’s available.

Of course, creating and maintaining accurate skill profiles, especially for AI, is a task in itself. It requires analysis of what the AI has been trained on, what tasks it’s proven capable of, and perhaps ongoing testing. Some organisations may worry about **overestimating AI skills** (marketing might claim an AI can do anything, but in

practice it has limits). With this in mind, verification and governance are needed to ensure an AI agent's advertised skills are real and its performance is monitored.

A compelling future scenario is one where **humans and AI compete/cooperate to learn new skills**. For example, if a certain analytical skill is very valuable, maybe an AI can be trained to develop it faster than humans can be trained. On the other hand, humans might specifically focus on skills that AIs struggle with (like creative design, complex interpersonal negotiation). This could create a sort of dynamic equilibrium where each tries to fill the skill gaps left by the other. This suggests that the optimum skill profile is one that blends technical prowess with the softer human touch. In other words, for human workers, blending your uniquely human skills (like creativity and ethical reasoning) with some technical/AI skills is likely to make you highly complementary to AI and therefore in demand.

In summary, skill profiling for humans and machines is about **visibility and optimisation**. By knowing the strengths of every member of a hybrid workforce, we can allocate work more intelligently - playing to each strength. It transforms workforce management into a match-making exercise: tasks are like "gigs" and the best-suited agent (human or AI) is chosen to execute each. This concept directly leads us into the next chapter, where we explore how these **gig-style assignments** function and how they are shaping the future of work.

Chapter 4. Gig Assignments and the Future of Work

The nature of work is becoming increasingly project-based and on-demand - a trend that has been growing with the human gig economy, and now is being mirrored and accelerated by AI. In the context of AI agents, we introduced the idea of "gig assignments" in the previous chapter: temporary, task-specific assignments that are given to AI agents based on their skill profiles. But gig assignments are not just an AI concept; they are part of a broader transformation in how work is organised, for humans and machines alike.

Over the past decade, the **gig economy** for human labour (enabled by platforms like Uber, Upwork, TaskRabbit, etc.) showed that many tasks can be unbundled from traditional jobs and sourced on-demand from a flexible pool of talent. Companies began tapping into global freelancers for specialised projects or using internal gig marketplaces to let employees take on short-term assignments beyond their usual role. This shift has allowed for greater flexibility, cost efficiency, and access to specialised skills when needed. Now, consider extending that model to AI agents:

we're entering an era where **AI can be "hired" much like a freelancer for a project or peak period**. The result is a hybrid gig economy where some gigs go to human contractors and others go to AI agents, whichever is best suited.

Imagine you're a business needing to launch a new marketing campaign. Traditionally you might hire an external consultant or agency (human gig workers) for creative strategy, and perhaps contract a data analyst to do market research. In the near future, you might also **hire an AI agent for a month-long gig to generate social media content or to analyse consumer trends**. In fact, AI content generators could be spun up as needed - you might "contract" an AI to produce 100 ad variations and then release it when they're done, rather than keeping an AI running idle. On the flip side, perhaps you still engage a human freelance art director for the creative vision (since humans excel at that), but many supporting tasks (copywriting, basic design drafts) are delegated to AI agents acting as gig workers for the duration of the campaign.

The benefits of this approach align with what we've seen in the human gig economy: **flexibility and scalability.** Companies can engage AI agents for specific tasks or outcomes without committing to long-term usage or development costs. For example, a retailer might drastically ramp up its use of AI customer service agents during the holiday shopping rush (much like hiring seasonal staff), then scale back afterwards. This **reduces overhead**, as you're not maintaining more AI capacity than needed. It also increases responsiveness, since you can deploy AI to meet market demands in real time.

Another advantage is **access to cutting-edge capability on-demand**. If a company suddenly needs to do, say, an image recognition task, they could engage an AI service that specialises in that skill for the task's duration, instead of building or buying a whole system. This is similar to hiring a specialist freelancer for a unique need. It gives organisations access to the **latest AI technologies without needing in-house expertise or permanent infrastructure**. For smaller businesses or those experimenting in new areas, this is a game-changer - it democratises AI by providing it as a service for hire. In fact, some foresee marketplaces emerging where AI agents with different specialties can be contracted securely, possibly even using blockchain/crypto for transactions (a kind of AI gig marketplace). Already, cloud providers offer on-demand AI APIs - you could argue this is a form of gig work for AI: you "call" an AI service to perform a task and pay per use.

The gig model for both humans and AI also fosters **diversity of skills**. Just as platforms have freelancers skilled in everything from graphic design to accounting, organisations can maintain a roster of AI agents each specialised in different domains. Need data analysis? You have an AI for that. Need language translation? Spin up the translation agent. This **modular approach to work** means companies aren't

constrained by the fixed skills of their full-time staff; they can plug skill gaps quickly with either an external gig worker or an AI agent (whichever is more efficient or appropriate). It enables what some call a *"liquid workforce,"* where talent (human or digital) flows into projects as needed and then moves on.

However, integrating AI agents into the gig economy of work also raises questions and challenges. One key question: **How will management and HR practices evolve to handle a transient AI workforce?** When people hire freelancers, there are processes to vet them, onboard them, ensure they understand the task, and evaluate their work. Similarly, if you are pulling in an AI agent for a one-off assignment, you need protocols: verifying the AI's reliability (perhaps based on its past "gig ratings"), giving it the right access for the duration of the task, then revoking access afterwards (like offboarding), and evaluating the results. Essentially, **HR and IT will intersect** here - which ties to the next chapter on IT as the new HR. Managing gig AI workers could become a new competency. We might see the emergence of something like an "AI gig platform" within enterprises, where internal users can request an AI capability for a short term, and the system handles deployment and subsequent shutdown of that AI service.

Another consideration is **quality control and integration**. In a human gig economy, companies sometimes struggle to integrate freelancers' work with internal teams or to maintain consistency. With AI agents, if different tasks are handled by different AI models (some internal, some external), ensuring consistency and accuracy across them will be crucial. Strong governance (as we discuss later) will be needed so that an "on-demand AI" doesn't, for example, introduce errors or biases that conflict with a company's standards.

Gig assignments within a company can also apply to human employees, not just external freelancers. Many large organisations are creating **internal gig marketplaces**, where employees can take short-term assignments in other departments or on special projects. This helps them develop new skills and keeps them engaged. For example, the software company Workday found that employees who participated in internal gig work had about 50% higher internal mobility (moving to new roles or promotions) than those who didn't. The idea is to break work into projects that any qualified employee can pick up, rather than rigidly sticking to job descriptions. Now with AI in the mix, some of those internal gig postings might be filled by AI agents instead of people, or by a person *using* an AI to accomplish it. It's conceivable that an internal gig post could explicitly say, "Human needed to work with AI X to achieve Y outcome over next 3 weeks." This way, gig assignments drive **cross-pollination of human and AI capabilities** throughout the organisation.

The future of work shaped by gig assignments is one of **fluid teams and short-term value creation**. A project team might only exist for a month, pulling in a

marketing specialist (human), a data scientist (human or AI), a couple of AI agents for automation, and a freelancer designer. Once the project ends, everyone (and every AI) moves to the next gig. Organisations become more like **marketplaces of skills** - and work is organised more like a series of projects. This can increase agility and innovation, as the best resources for each job are assembled in real-time.

For individual workers, this could mean more opportunity to do varied work (since AI takes care of monotony, humans can focus on gigs that leverage their creativity or expertise). It also means they may constantly be adapting to working with new AI tools or new teams, which puts a premium on adaptability and continuous learning. Many professionals might embrace this, as it can be stimulating and career-broadening; others might find the pace of change challenging. Organisations will have to support their people through this with training and clear communication.

Another implication: **contracting and employment models might change**. If a company can fulfil certain tasks with AI agents on contract, they might rely less on hiring additional staff for those tasks. Conversely, new kinds of jobs might appear, such as "AI gig coordinator" or "freelance AI manager," essentially roles where a person brokers and manages the involvement of AI agents in various projects. An extreme prediction from some futurists is that eventually, companies may "hire" AI agents the way they hire employees. We might see job postings for AI systems (or auctions where AI owners bid their agent's services). While this is speculative, signs of it are visible - for example, some startups are marketing **AI-as-a-service for specific functions** (like an "AI CFO" service that does financial forecasting). These services act like expert gig workers that you don't put on payroll, you just subscribe to them.

AI can be engaged on a project-to-project basis just like freelancers, leading to **lower long-term commitments and the ability to rapidly respond to needs**. How will HR need to evolve to manage these AI gig workers and can businesses adapt to an on-demand AI workforce? These are critical considerations that companies will need to address.

In conclusion, gig assignments - for both humans and AI - point toward a **future of work that is highly dynamic and on-demand**. Work will be less defined by one's job title or fixed team, and more by a series of tasks and projects matched to the best-suited talent (be it human or machine). Organisations that embrace this model can become extremely agile, assembling "dream teams" on the fly. Those that stick strictly to traditional workforce models may find themselves too slow or too rigid in comparison. However, reaping the benefits of this future will require robust infrastructure to manage gig-based workflows and the blending of AI and human contributions. It's not just about technology, it's about rethinking management

practices, which leads us to the next chapter: how IT departments are taking on a role similar to HR in managing AI agents within the workforce.

Chapter 5. IT as the New HR

As AI agents become an integral part of the workforce, the responsibility of managing these digital workers falls largely on the technology side of the house. Traditionally, **Human Resources (HR)** has handled the employee lifecycle - from hiring and onboarding, to training, performance management, and eventually offboarding. Now, we are seeing a parallel need for managing the lifecycle of AI agents, and this task is being taken up by IT and related functions. In effect, **IT is evolving into the "HR department" for AI agents**.

Consider what happens when a new human employee joins a company: HR ensures the person fills out the paperwork, gets an employee ID, is given access to the necessary systems, learns about their role, etc. Now think of introducing a new AI agent to handle some tasks - say an AI that will automate invoice processing in finance. That AI needs an identity in the system, proper access permissions to relevant databases (but not more access than necessary, to enforce security), configuration or training on the company's specific data, and a clear definition of its role and rules of engagement. **Onboarding an AI agent** is a multifaceted process much like onboarding an employee. IT might provision accounts or API keys for the AI, set up its computing environment, and integrate it with workflow software. They also need to document what the AI is supposed to do and under what conditions it should operate or not operate (similar to a job description and policies for a human).

Once an AI agent is up and running, it requires **ongoing maintenance and oversight** - equivalent to training and performance management for employees. AI agents might need periodic retraining, especially if they are based on machine learning models that can be improved with new data (think of this as professional development for the AI). IT (possibly in collaboration with data science teams) would handle updating the AI's "skills" or models. Performance needs to be monitored: Is the AI achieving the desired accuracy or throughput? If its performance degrades or if it encounters scenarios it wasn't prepared for, IT must step in to adjust or troubleshoot (like a manager coaching an underperforming employee). In some cases, the AI might generate errors or problematic outputs; IT, together with business stakeholders, must evaluate these issues, similar to how HR would address a performance issue or complaint about an employee.

Another aspect is compliance and policies. Companies have many HR policies governing employee behaviour (e.g., codes of conduct, working hours, data privacy

rules). Similarly, they will need **policies for AI agents** - for example, an AI handling customer data must comply with privacy regulations and company standards. Ensuring that AI agents adhere to ethical guidelines or regulatory requirements becomes part of governance. Since AIs can work at superhuman speed and scale, a misbehaving AI agent could cause a lot of damage quickly (imagine an AI erroneously sending out thousands of incorrect invoices or making biased loan decisions). To prevent such scenarios, IT's governance role is crucial: setting boundaries for what AI agents can and cannot do, much like HR sets workplace rules and escalation procedures. We might see things like an **"AI Code of Conduct"** or specific constraints (for example, an AI agent might only be allowed to act in an advisory capacity for certain sensitive decisions, requiring human sign-off).

The idea that "IT will become HR for AI" means that **IT departments will expand their remit from managing technology infrastructure to managing a digital workforce**. Some tasks involved include:

- **Defining Roles & Responsibilities:** For each AI agent, clarify what tasks it's responsible for, who the "owner" or supervising team is on the human side, and how it should collaborate with humans. Essentially, designing the job role of the AI within the organisation.
- **Provisioning and Access Control:** Granting the AI the necessary permissions to do its job (access to databases, ability to execute transactions) and nothing more. This is critical for security. For example, if an AI agent is meant to create support tickets, IT ensures it can access the ticketing system's API but maybe not customer personal data unless needed, etc. This is like giving an employee access to only the document repositories and applications relevant to their role.
- **Monitoring and Incident Management:** Setting up monitoring systems to track the AI's activities and performance. If an AI goes off course (like a bug causing wrong decisions), IT needs to detect that quickly - comparable to how a manager would notice if an employee is making repeated mistakes. IT might use dashboards or alerts for this. Some organisations establish an **AI governance** function specifically to monitor AI systems in production. When incidents occur (e.g., an AI system outage or a critical error), IT handles it as they would a system outage, but with the awareness that it's affecting business operations like an employee being out of work sick or making an error.
- **Continuous Improvement and "Training":** Just as employees get training, AI models require continuous improvement. IT will schedule updates, apply patches, retrain models with new data, and possibly rotate out older models for newer ones. In some cases, AI agents can even learn on the job (e.g., improving their models from user feedback). IT has to manage that learning

process to avoid drift or unintended consequences. In effect, IT acts as both the trainer and the quality assurance for AI's development.

- **Offboarding or Decommissioning:** When an AI agent is no longer needed or is being replaced, IT must safely remove it. This might involve archiving its data, revoking its access privileges, and shutting down its processes. Much like HR handles exit interviews and revoking a departing employee's badges and logins, IT ensures an old AI doesn't linger and become a security or compliance risk. Proper offboarding is also important if the AI was making decisions - it might be necessary to document how it was configured in case of future audits, just as HR keeps records of former employees.

While AI agents aren't human, managing them involves very similar processes to managing people. A difference, of course, is scale - one IT manager might be responsible for 10's of AI agents, whereas a people manager typically has a limited span of control. This will push IT to automate parts of AI management (perhaps AI monitoring other AIs!). Another difference is predictability - human behaviour can be more varied; AI behaviour is consistent until it's not (in case of bugs or drifts). But the ethical dimension also adds complexity: HR deals with human issues like motivation and fairness, whereas IT must instil *ethical guidelines into AI*, ensure fairness and lack of bias in AI decisions, etc., which is somewhat new territory for technologists.

We may also see new **roles emerge in organisations to bridge IT and HR for AI management**. For example, roles like "AI Ethics Officer" or "AI Governance Lead" have started appearing, ensuring that AI deployments align with company values and regulations (much like HR's role in culture and compliance). Similarly, "AI Trainer" or "Model Ops Engineer" roles focus on the care and feeding of AI models over time - somewhat parallel to a trainer in HR who improves employee skills.

Collaboration between IT and HR will be critical. HR can lend its expertise in policy-making, change management, and understanding workforce impacts. For example, if an AI agent is introduced that affects a particular employee group's work, HR and IT should coordinate on communication and perhaps upskilling those employees. HR might also need to handle the *people side* of AI integration: addressing employee concerns about working with AI, incorporating AI-related competencies into job descriptions and evaluations, etc. IT, on the other hand, handles the *technical lifecycle*. So while I say "IT is the new HR" in terms of managing AI agents, the best outcomes will come when IT and traditional HR work hand-in-hand to manage a **blended workforce of humans and AI**.

Managing a digital workforce also means dealing with high-level strategy questions: How many AI agents do we need? What's the mix of human vs. AI for a given process? Are our AI agents "productive" and delivering ROI? These questions are like workforce

planning and productivity analysis that HR and operations teams do for humans. I'm already hearing about companies forming **AI Councils or AI Strategy teams** to address these issues, often led by CIOs or CTOs but involving HR leadership as well.

Security is one area where IT's role is especially pronounced. If a human employee goes rogue or is negligent, HR and security handle it. If an AI agent causes a security breach or is hijacked by a malicious actor, that's squarely an IT security issue. Ensuring that AI agents operate securely (for example, not exposing sensitive data, or not being tricked via adversarial inputs) is crucial to building trust. It's similar to ensuring employees follow security protocol - but since AI can't be "trained" through recorded training sessions, it must be engineered to be secure from the ground up. With that in mind, it's important that organisations adopt or extend frameworks like **NIST's AI Risk Management Framework** or other guidelines to systematically handle these concerns.

In summary, as AI agents proliferate in the enterprise, IT departments are on the front line of **talent management for non-human workers**. This is a new competency that involves technical skill, process design, and even a bit of organisational psychology (understanding how digital workers fit into human teams). Companies that recognise and empower IT for this role will have an advantage: they'll more smoothly integrate AI into operations and avoid chaotic implementations. Much like companies with strong HR practices tend to have more engaged and effective employees, companies with strong AI management practices will have more reliable and powerful AI agents working for them. The end result is a harmonious and efficient partnership between human and digital workers.

Now that we've discussed strategy and operations around AI in the workforce, we should turn to the bigger strategic picture: how to frame a winning AI strategy for the organisation as a whole. The next chapter addresses why an AI strategy should **focus on business outcomes, not just the AI technology** - an insight that ensures all these AI workforce efforts actually drive the organisation forward.

Chapter 6. Rethinking AI Strategy

When organisations first start experimenting with AI, a common pitfall is to become too **technology-centric**: chasing the latest AI tools, hiring expert data scientists, or trialling complex algorithms - all without a clear connection to business goals. It's an easy trap to fall into given all the hype. However, as AI moves from experimentation to a core part of the business, it's imperative to step back and rethink the strategy. **A successful AI strategy isn't about the AI itself; it's about what you want**

to achieve with AI. In other words, **business outcomes should drive the AI agenda, not vice versa**.

This perspective might sound obvious, but in practice many companies are yet to fully connect business outcomes to AI. To realign, leadership must ask fundamental questions: *What are our fundamental business challenges and opportunities? Where could AI make a meaningful difference?* The answers lie in the word "fundamental". Keep asking "why" until you get down to the real.

One of the questions I'm always asking is: What's your AI vision?

Sometimes the answer is something like, "Our vision is to hit 80% call-deflection with AI." My immediate response is, Why? Are you overwhelmed with volume? Struggling with cost? Are the calls too complex?

If the only answer is, "We just want fewer calls," that's not a vision - it's a metric. Keep digging. Maybe the real driver is redeploying resources to higher -value work, reducing OPEX to fund transformation, or shifting focus to digital self-service. Cost savings are rarely the goal in themselves - they're a lever to unlock something bigger.

If you implement AI only to do what you already do - just a bit faster - it's an expensive way to stand still. Your AI strategy shouldn't be about the technology; it should be about the outcome you're really trying to achieve.

A useful mantra is: *"Your AI strategy isn't about AI. It's about what you want AI to help you accomplish."* This reframing ensures that AI projects are tied to concrete value. For example, rather than saying "we need to implement AI in customer service because our competitors are doing it," a better approach is "we want to improve customer retention so we want to increase customer satisfaction scores by 20% - can AI help us to achieve that?" The latter framing will lead to a more focused and purposeful AI deployment.

My recommended approach is to structure the strategy in tiers: **Business outcomes first, use cases second, technology third**. Start by identifying the top business priorities (e.g., cut average service resolution time by half, increase sales conversion, lower manufacturing downtime). Next, brainstorm or identify specific **use cases** where AI could contribute to those outcomes (e.g., use case: AI agent to handle routine service queries to cut resolution time; or AI-driven predictive maintenance to reduce downtime). Finally, evaluate the **technology** needed - which could be off-the-shelf AI software, custom machine learning models, or even simpler automation if AI isn't the right tool. By following this sequence, you ensure the discussion is grounded. If a use case doesn't clearly tie to an outcome, maybe it's not worth doing now. If a piece of technology doesn't enable a key use case, perhaps it's not needed.

Another reason to be outcome-focused is to manage expectations and measure success. AI initiatives often falter because companies didn't define what success looks like from a business standpoint. Defining expected ROI or KPI improvements upfront helps keep AI projects on track and aligned with business needs.

A cautionary tale comes from many early AI adopters: they invested heavily in AI capabilities but didn't achieve broad adoption across the enterprise. Often the missing link was that solutions were built without the end-users or business owners in mind. A reoriented strategy would involve those stakeholders from the start - essentially answering *who will use this AI, and how will it integrate into their workflow to deliver value?* For example, developing an AI forecasting tool in isolation won't help unless the finance team trusts and uses it; so part of the strategic planning must include change management, user training, and iterative development with feedback from that finance team. In short, the strategy must be as much about **people and processes** as it is about features.

It's also important that an AI strategy encompasses **governance and ethical considerations** upfront, not afterthoughts. Particularly now, regulators and the public are watching how companies deploy AI. A strategy centred on outcomes by nature considers impacts - e.g., if the outcome is to improve customer trust, then using AI in a transparent and fair way is crucial. High-profile failures (like AI systems that demonstrated bias or made harmful errors) often occurred when organisations charged ahead with technology without fully considering the context and risks. This is part of what it means to be outcome-driven: the outcome isn't just about raw performance metrics, but also *successful adoption* and *stakeholder trust*.

One practical shift many companies are making is moving the AI conversation out of the sole realm of technologists and into cross-functional leadership discussions. AI strategy should involve the CEO and business unit heads, not just the CIO or Chief Data Scientist. This means top leadership is equally invested. But it also underscores that the **C-suite is guiding AI investments in line with business vision**. If the C-suite sets clear priorities, it channels efforts productively. Without that, you might get multiple disconnected pilot projects and no scaling - a common issue where many companies have lots of AI proofs of concept but few deployed solutions delivering value.

Another angle to consider is **the competitive landscape and business model innovation**. Rethinking AI strategy might reveal new opportunities: AI might enable entirely new services or products you couldn't offer before. For example, a company might realise that with AI handling certain tasks, they can offer 24/7 support or personalised recommendations at scale, opening up a new value proposition for customers. These are outcomes that go beyond efficiency - they speak to growth and differentiation. Therefore, the most forward-looking strategies include a component

of exploring **new business models** or revenue streams powered by AI. For example, an insurance company might use AI to analyse customer data and risk in novel ways, allowing them to create personalised micro-insurance products - something not feasible manually. The AI strategy then isn't just supporting existing operations; it's driving innovation in the business.

It's also wise to be strategic about where to deploy AI first - pick use cases that are high-impact but also **feasible and likely to succeed** as early wins. This builds momentum and organisational buy-in. For example, automating a well-understood, repetitive process (like invoice matching) might yield quick cost savings and demonstrate success, which can then justify tackling more complex AI projects. This approach of starting with "low-hanging fruit" that align with strategic goals is often recommended. It ties into a phased roadmap: immediate-term outcomes (e.g., cost savings this quarter from automation), mid-term outcomes (e.g., improved customer retention over a year from better recommendations), and long-term transformative outcomes (e.g., new AI-enabled business lines).

A rethought AI strategy also involves being realistic about capabilities. Not every problem requires a sophisticated AI solution. Sometimes simpler automation or analytics might achieve the outcome with less complexity. The strategy should include a **discernment step**: just because AI is possible doesn't mean it's the right tool. For example, if the outcome is "reduce customer churn," one approach is deploying a fancy predictive AI to flag at-risk customers. But if the company doesn't have a plan for how to intervene with those customers, the prediction alone isn't useful. Maybe the simpler solution is improving service quality directly or gathering feedback. AI might come into play after those basics are addressed. In short, ensure AI is applied where it truly adds value, and integrate it with other initiatives (organisational, process changes) aimed at the same outcome.

To close out: **Rethinking AI strategy is about flipping the script from "AI-first" to "value-first."** It urges organisations to articulate clearly what they want to achieve (better experiences, growth, etc.), identify promising use cases for AI to contribute to those goals, and then pursue those with the appropriate technologies and governance. It's a call to avoid the trap of adopting AI for AI's sake - an unfortunately common mistake. Instead, make AI a targeted tool in the broader strategic toolbox. If done right, every AI project will have a story behind it: *We're doing X with AI in order to accomplish Y outcome.* And that is a narrative that boards, employees, and customers can all get behind.

With a solid strategy in place, the next step is enabling the people who will execute that strategy. We must ensure the workforce is empowered and equipped to work in this AI-driven environment. Thus, the following chapter turns to the human side

again: how to empower and prepare the workforce so that people and machine together can achieve the targeted outcomes.

Chapter 7. Empowering the Workforce

An AI strategy, no matter how well-crafted, will fall flat without a workforce that is ready and able to carry it out. "Empowering the workforce" in the AI era means **equipping your people with the skills, mindset, and confidence to work alongside AI** - and to continually adapt as technology evolves. This is both a practical training challenge and a cultural one. At its heart, it's about making sure that employees feel they are an integral part of the AI-augmented organisation, not a relic of a pre-AI past.

One immediate aspect of empowerment is **upskilling and reskilling**. As AI takes over certain tasks, the tasks left to humans (or newly created for humans) will often require different skills. For example, if AI handles routine data entry, the human role might shift to interpreting insights from that data, which calls for analytical skills. If AI drafts an initial proposal or piece of code, the human needs skills in reviewing, editing, and adding creativity or strategic direction. A survey by IBM in 2024 found that while 89% of executives said their workforce needs improved AI skills, only 6% felt they had begun upskilling employees in AI "in a meaningful way". This gap is striking - it means many organisations recognise the need but have been slow to act. Closing this gap is a top priority for empowerment.

So what does AI upskilling look like? It ranges from broad **AI literacy** for all employees, to specialised training for certain roles. AI literacy means people have a basic understanding of what AI can and cannot do, the common terminology, and how it might apply in their domain. This helps demystify AI and reduce fear. More specifically, many companies are now offering training in **"prompt engineering"** or how to effectively use generative AI tools. For example, employees might learn how to ask the company's GPT-based assistant the right questions to get useful analysis, or how to refine an AI-generated draft into a polished output.

For roles that are directly impacted by AI (like data analysts, customer service, etc.), training might include how to interpret AI recommendations, how to oversee AI outputs, and how to handle exceptions or errors from AI. The concept of *"fusion skills"* has emerged, meaning skills that allow humans to work effectively with AI – such as judgment, oversight, and ability to improve AI performance by providing feedback. Some companies have gone so far as to train employees on AI basics and even advanced topics, embedding AI education into their corporate learning programmes.

This indicates that leading organisations see widespread AI competence as the new baseline, much like computer literacy became essential in the last few decades.

Empowerment is not just about hard skills though; it's about **mindset and culture**. Employees need to see AI as a tool and collaborator, not as a threat or a mysterious black box. To foster this, leaders should communicate transparently about why AI is being adopted and how it will benefit both the company and employees. For example, framing AI as a means to remove drudgery and let people focus on more meaningful work is often effective, but employees will believe it when they actually see those benefits materialise in their daily jobs. Early involvement of employees in AI initiatives can help: if workers participate in pilot programmes, give feedback on AI systems, or even help train them (say by labelling data or verifying outputs), they gain ownership and familiarity.

A supportive culture also means addressing **job security fears** head-on. Many Chief HR officers widely expect that AI will replace some jobs in the next few years. These are real concerns that can't be brushed aside. Empowerment involves reassuring and also being honest: yes, some jobs will change or even disappear, but new opportunities will arise, and the company's intent is to **transition and support employees into new roles** rather than simply cut them loose. For example, HR may develop "reskilling pathways" for roles likely to be disrupted - guiding employees on how to leverage their existing strengths in new, more AI-proof capacities.

One emerging best practice is to highlight and celebrate **human-AI collaboration success stories** within the company. If a sales team used an AI tool to great effect (maybe boosting their win rate by focusing on AI-identified hot leads), share that story. If a customer support rep was able to handle 30% more cases by partnering with an AI assistant and still maintain quality, recognise that. This not only recognises the employee's adaptation but also signals to peers that using AI is something to be proud of, not something to hide. It helps build a narrative that **working with AI is a skill and a positive trait** in employees.

Additionally, empowering the workforce means giving them the **right tools and authority**. There's no point in training people to use AI if the AI tools are not readily accessible in their workflow. Companies should integrate AI assistants into the software employees already use (for example, a built-in AI suggestion feature in ServiceNow or Copilot in the Microsoft Suite). And employees should be empowered to suggest improvements or flag issues with these tools. A feedback loop can be established where employees can report "The AI suggested this but it wasn't helpful because X" - which goes to the AI development team to refine the system. This inclusive approach makes employees feel they have a say in how AI is implemented, rather than having it imposed on them.

Another facet of empowerment is preparing employees for **new roles that AI creates**. As routine tasks shrink, there may be a greater need for roles like AI specialists in departments (e.g., an "AI-enabled marketing analyst" who spends time fine-tuning AI models that segment customers). Or roles that ensure quality and compliance of AI outputs, such as an "AI outcome auditor" in a bank checking that automated decisions meet regulatory standards. These are opportunities for employees to step up into emerging roles. The organisation should map these potential roles and provide pathways for interested staff to transition into them (with training and maybe mentorship). It's much like how, with the rise of data analytics, some traditional business analysts learned new data science skills and moved into those positions.

We should also consider **educational partnerships**. Some companies partner with online learning platforms, universities, or credential programmes to offer employees courses in AI, data science, etc. Government and industry initiatives might also provide frameworks (e.g., Singapore's government has an AI literacy programme for workforce). Taking advantage of these can accelerate skill development.

Empowerment goes beyond individuals to encompass **teams and organisation structure**. Teams might need to reorganise to best utilise AI - perhaps smaller teams can handle more because of AI, or cross-functional teams form with a mix of domain experts and AI engineers working together on projects. The organisation should be flexible in allowing such structural changes. Also, giving teams some autonomy to experiment with AI tools can be empowering. Such empowerment at team level fosters innovation and a sense of ownership in the AI journey.

Finally, empowerment must address the **ethical competence** of the workforce. Employees should feel empowered to question AI outcomes that seem wrong or biased. They should have the training to understand AI limitations and the permission to raise red flags. For example, if an HR professional sees that an AI recruiting tool is favouring or filtering out certain candidates in a problematic way, they should feel it's their role to catch that and speak up. Creating a culture of **human oversight** where employees act as a fail-safe and conscience for AI decisions makes them active guardians of company values. This trust that leadership places in employees - to supervise and guide AI, not just obey it - is deeply empowering. It says that humans remain in charge of important judgments.

In summary, empowering the workforce in the AI era is about **making your people the heroes of the transformation, not the victims.** It's ensuring they have the skills to excel with AI, the confidence to embrace change, and the support to navigate new roles. It's about listening to their concerns and aspirations, and partnering with them in shaping the future workplace. When employees feel prepared and valued in this journey, they are far more likely to adopt AI solutions enthusiastically and find

creative ways to use them to drive success. And that is ultimately what turns an AI initiative from a top-down mandate into a ground-up innovation engine.

Next, we'll explore how all these changes - the new technology, new ways of working, and new skills - need to be underpinned by strong governance, trust, and risk management. After all, empowerment must go hand in hand with responsibility and safety to sustain success in the long run.

Chapter 8. Governance, Trust, and Risk

As organisations embrace AI and integrate it deeply into their workforce and decision-making, the importance of **governance and trust** cannot be overstated. With great power comes great responsibility: AI can greatly amplify an organisation's capabilities, but it can also amplify errors or biases if not properly controlled. In addition, employees and customers will only embrace AI solutions if they trust them. Therefore, building a robust governance framework that manages risk and fosters trust is a foundational step in the AI-augmented era.

One major area of concern is **ethical and bias-related risks**. AI systems, especially those based on machine learning, learn from data that might contain historical biases or reflect societal inequalities. If unchecked, they can perpetuate or even worsen those biases. To avoid such outcomes, organisations need governance measures such as: regular bias audits of AI models, diverse teams to evaluate AI decision fairness, and clear guidelines on what kinds of decisions AI is allowed to make versus requiring human judgment. **Responsible AI committees or review boards** are becoming common, bringing together stakeholders from IT, legal, HR, and the business to scrutinise high-impact AI deployments for ethical issues.

Another aspect is **transparency and explainability**. For trust to exist, users (whether employees or customers) often need to understand or at least have confidence in how an AI came to a decision. In critical areas like healthcare or finance, explainability might also be mandated by regulation or simply necessary for human experts to validate AI's advice. Therefore, part of governance is choosing AI methods that are appropriately interpretable for the context, or using techniques to interpret complex models' outputs. Additionally, being transparent with customers - for example, disclosing when they are interacting with an AI versus a human, or how their data is used by AI - is key to maintaining trust. In fact, **prioritising transparency, consistency, and meeting user expectations is crucial to closing the AI trust gap** in the workplace.

From an organisational risk perspective, there's also **operational and security risk**. An AI system might make a wrong decision that leads to financial loss or safety issues. Or it could be targeted by cyberattacks (imagine an attacker manipulating an AI's input data to cause it to malfunction - a real threat known as adversarial attacks). There is also risk of sensitive data exposure if AI systems are not well-controlled (like an employee accidentally feeding confidential data into a public AI service). To manage these risks, companies should incorporate AI into existing risk management frameworks. The U.S. NIST has even developed an **AI Risk Management Framework** to guide organisations. Best practices include rigorous testing of AI systems before deployment (stress-testing edge cases), continuous monitoring for anomalies in AI behaviour, and clear incident response plans specifically for AI failures (for example, if an AI in customer service starts giving inappropriate responses, have a kill switch or fallback to human agents).

Many leaders worry that innovation is outstripping the structures needed to rein it in, calling it a "risk multiplier" if done irresponsibly. Closing this gap is a leadership challenge: it means investing time and resources into governance mechanisms even as you push innovation. It might seem like adding friction, but in reality it's safeguarding the sustainability of AI initiatives. We've already seen occasions where public backlash or regulatory scrutiny halted an AI deployment that wasn't properly governed. Proactive governance avoids those derailments.

An important element of governance is establishing clear **AI policies and roles**. It's startling that about 72% of leaders said their organisations do not have an AI policy in place yet. Crafting an AI policy is fundamental - it should outline how the organisation will select AI projects, evaluate them for ethical and legal compliance, handle data privacy (especially with regulations like GDPR, etc.), and ensure human oversight where needed. The policy can also cover employee usage of AI: for example, guidelines about using public AI tools (some companies have rules on not pasting confidential text into ChatGPT, for example) to prevent data leaks. Roles are equally key: appointing **data stewards, model validators, AI ethicists, or compliance officers** focusing on AI ensures responsibility is assigned. When everyone owns AI, sometimes no one truly owns it - so clarifying who is accountable for what part of the AI lifecycle in governance terms is important.

From the trust angle, **employee trust in AI** is as important as customer trust. To address this, companies should involve employees in the governance process. For example, including employee representatives or at least getting their feedback when drafting AI usage policies (especially if it pertains to AI systems that monitor or evaluate employees). Also, any introduction of AI that impacts employees (like AI-assisted performance evaluations, or algorithmic scheduling) must be handled very carefully with ample dialogue and opt-outs where appropriate. If employees see that

leadership is thoughtful and includes them in decision-making around AI, their trust will grow.

On the customer side, building trust might involve certifications or external audits for AI systems, especially for consumer-facing or critical functions. Similar to how some companies publicise security audits or compliance certifications, we might see **AI transparency reports** or labels in the future ("This AI system has been audited for bias and fairness by an independent body"). In highly regulated industries, compliance with frameworks (like the upcoming EU AI Act, which will enforce strict requirements on "high-risk" AI systems) will be necessary to avoid legal risk and reputational damage.

Another risk dimension is **strategic or organisational risk**: failing to adapt governance could lead to misalignment and lost opportunities. For example, if one business unit runs ahead using AI in a way that conflicts with company values or another unit's approach, it could create internal conflict or brand issues. Governance provides alignment - like a North Star for AI use - ensuring all parts of the organisation follow the same ethical and strategic principles. A governance framework set by top leadership can clarify: we will innovate with AI, but within these guardrails.

A subtle but critical part of governance is **accountability and recourse**. When AI makes or informs decisions (say, declining a loan or screening a job candidate), governance should define how affected parties can seek human review or appeal. This not only is increasingly required by law (like "right to explanation"), but it's simply good practice to maintain trust. People need to know that if the AI gets it wrong, a human can make it right. Setting up channels for that - maybe as simple as "Click here to have a human double-check this decision" - shows a commitment to fairness and oversight.

In terms of building a culture of responsible AI, **training employees about AI risks** is part of empowerment we discussed but also part of governance. Everyone should be aware of basic dos and don'ts (e.g., watch out for data biases, interpret AI suggestions critically, maintain confidentiality). This way, governance is not only top-down rules but also embedded in daily behaviour.

In conclusion, robust governance and risk management are what make all the benefits of AI sustainable. They act as the *safety net* that allows the organisation to innovate rapidly without too many hard falls. Trust - from employees, customers, regulators - is the *currency* that will determine whether AI initiatives ultimately succeed or face pushback. By taking governance and risk seriously, organisations build that foundation. They ensure that as we charge into this AI-driven future, we do so with eyes open, mindful of consequences, and ready to course-correct as needed.

Having addressed governance and risk, we can now broaden our view to what these changes mean for jobs and organisational design on the whole. How will roles evolve, and how might companies restructure themselves in the longer term to thrive in an AI-rich world? We tackle that in the next chapter on the future of jobs and organisational design.

Chapter 9. The Future of Jobs and Organisational Design

The workforce of tomorrow will look very different from that of today. In the AI era, **jobs will evolve, some will disappear, many new ones will be created**, and the very structure of organisations may transform. This is not the first time we've faced such a shift - think of agriculture to industrial, or industrial to digital transitions - but the speed and scale of AI's impact could be unprecedented. Here, I paint a picture of how jobs and organisational design might change, and how companies and workers can adapt.

First, let's consider the **job landscape**. Numerous studies have attempted to estimate job displacement and creation due to AI and automation. The World Economic Forum predicted a few years back that by 2025, automation could displace around 85 million jobs worldwide, but also create about 97 million new roles. More recent forecasts, like their 2023 report, suggest that by 2027 or 2030, we might see a net negative in job numbers if we don't take action (one projection: 14 million net job loss globally by 2027 due to technology and other factors). However, these numbers are constantly revised; the exact figures are less important than the clear message: **significant workforce shifts are coming**.

Jobs most likely to be **diminished or eliminated** are those heavy in routine, repetitive tasks - especially if they require little subjective judgment or social interaction. Examples often cited include data entry clerks, routine administrative roles, certain assembly line jobs, and basic customer service roles that can be handled by chatbots. Similarly, roles like bank tellers, payroll clerks, and telemarketers are expected to continue declining as AI and self-service technologies expand. However, even within jobs, it's not that the entire job goes away - often, it's the routine parts of jobs that get automated. For example, an accountant's job will likely not vanish, but much of the number-crunching and transaction matching might be automated, changing the nature of the accountant's work (to focus more on analysis and advising).

On the other side, **job creation** will occur in areas complementing or building AI, and in entirely new fields that arise from technological possibilities. Demand is already surging for roles like data scientists, AI/machine learning engineers, and big data specialists. But beyond these obvious tech roles, there will be growth in roles that rely on *human skills that AI cannot (yet) replicate well*. The fastest-growing jobs by 2030 are anticipated to include not just AI developers, but also roles like education and human trainers (as lifelong learning becomes more important), healthcare professionals (where empathy and human judgement are key), and creative professions that harness AI as a tool. Additionally, **entirely new roles** are emerging such as: AI ethicist, prompt writer, human-AI interaction designer, virtual environment architects (for AR/VR metaverse work), and more. It's reminiscent of how the internet created jobs like social media manager or cybersecurity analyst that didn't exist before.

One particularly interesting prediction is the rise of jobs where AI is essentially the manager or decision-maker and humans execute tasks at AI's direction. For example, some gig platforms are already close to this - algorithms dispatch Uber drivers or delivery workers without a human boss in the loop. As AI planning and scheduling improves, we might have scenarios where AI systems coordinate pools of freelance or gig workers (AIs assigning gigs to humans). This flips the script, as suggested by a thought experiment: *AI agents could hire human workers for tasks requiring uniquely human traits*. In these scenarios, humans might register their skills and availability with AI-run marketplaces and be called upon when creativity, empathy, or complex judgment is needed. It sounds futuristic, but gig economy trends combined with AI orchestration are nudging in this direction (sometimes called "Human-in-the-loop gig work").

Considering **organisational design**, one major change could be the further flattening of hierarchies. If AI systems take over many middle-management functions like monitoring performance, compiling reports, or even approving routine decisions, organisations might not need as many layers of management. Instead, we may see leaner structures with a mix of human managers and AI systems handling coordination. Some have envisioned "*autonomous enterprises*" where AI agents handle inter-department workflows seamlessly; human oversight remains but is not involved in every little decision. Companies might also adopt more **project-based or matrix structures**, where teams form around specific initiatives and then dissolve - since AI can help quickly assemble resources and manage the back-end coordination, the old static department model could give way to a more fluid network organisation.

We can already observe an inclination towards **"Skills-based organisations."** Instead of rigid departments, some companies create talent pools and match employees (and by extension, AI tools) to projects dynamically based on skills. This

is facilitated by internal talent marketplaces and, as we discussed, skill profiles. In a fully realised form, an organisation might operate almost like an internal gig economy: need a new product brainstorm? - an AI finds the best available humans and AI resources with relevant skills to form a temporary team, led by someone with strong creative facilitation (human) and aided by research bots (AI). After the project, they disperse. This is a radical departure from today's static org charts, but it promises more agility.

Organisational design will also be influenced by whether companies choose to outsource or insource AI capabilities. Some might keep a small core team of employees and use a multitude of AI services (and perhaps contract workers) for everything else - essentially **extending the trend of lean organisations** that focus on core competencies. Others might maintain larger workforces but heavily augmented by AI in every department, meaning roles shift but headcount might not drastically drop. We see evidence of companies taking both approaches. What is certain is that almost every role will evolve. The WEF's Future of Jobs 2023 report noted that about 40-44% of core skills for workers are expected to change in the next five years - an enormous reskilling challenge.

A positive vision of the future of jobs is one in which humans gravitate towards work that is inherently human - requiring **creativity, interpersonal skills, and complex problem-solving** - while AI handles the drudge work and provides powerful analysis. Under this scenario, jobs might become more fulfilling. Imagine a doctor who spends more time talking to patients and devising care plans because AI systems have summarised the diagnostics and suggested treatment options; the doctor's "job" shifts toward empathy, ethical choices, and patient education. Or a teacher who uses AI to customise lesson plans and mark homework quickly, freeing them to focus on mentoring students and fostering creativity in class. These examples illustrate the potential for AI to **enhance job quality** if integrated thoughtfully.

However, there's also a riskier scenario: if not managed well, we could see a polarisation of the workforce - a smaller group of highly skilled (often tech-savvy) people in great jobs creating or supervising AI, and a larger group of displaced or in precarious gig roles. **Preventing that outcome** is a collective responsibility of companies, governments, and educators. It involves massive upskilling initiatives (as mentioned earlier), rethinking education to emphasise skills that machines can't easily replicate (like critical thinking, collaboration, adaptability), and perhaps new social contracts (like adjusting work hours, or considering universal basic income if productivity soars with less human labour needed). Some countries are already exploring policies for an AI economy, such as incentives for companies that retrain rather than lay off, or public sector programmes to create jobs in caring professions that are under-served by AI.

Another implication for organisational design is the **blending of IT and other functions** - as AI permeates everything, the line between IT and business blurs. We might not have separate "tech departments" in the future; every department may have AI embedded and therefore needs tech-fluent leadership. This could mean more tech/business hybrid roles (e.g., marketing teams with their own AI specialists). Companies might organise more by product or value stream rather than by function, since AI can help coordinate cross-functionally, making traditional siloed departments less necessary.

We also may witness changes in **organisational culture and leadership**. Leadership skills in the AI era will include being adept at managing human-AI teams, using data-driven insights while still providing vision and human judgment, and championing continuous learning. Leaders will need to be comfortable that some authority or decision-making is handled by algorithms, yet they remain accountable for outcomes. This calls for a humble, learning-oriented culture at the top - willing to adapt as AI learnings come in, and placing ethics and human values at the forefront to guide AI usage. Organisational norms around collaboration might evolve when AI agents are part of the team - for example, how do you encourage open communication if some team members are machines? It sounds odd, but companies might develop protocols like always cc'ing the AI assistant or giving credit to AI contributions in project post-mortems, to normalise the human-AI partnership dynamic.

Lastly, **geographical distribution of work** could shift further. AI might enable more remote or decentralised work because routine coordination can be automated. Also, if AI reduces the need for certain skilled labour, jobs might concentrate more in roles that must be local (like service jobs) or in hubs for innovation and creativity. Alternatively, AI tools might allow talented individuals anywhere to contribute (for example, someone with great design ideas but limited formal training could leverage AI design assistants to produce professional work from a rural location). So we could see either a centralisation (jobs clustering in high-skill cities) or further globalisation of talent (accessing skills globally via platforms). Organisational strategies will differ: some might double down on key innovation centres with heavy AI investment, others might tap into global networks of freelancers augmented by AI.

To close out this chapter, the future of jobs and organisational design is not predetermined; it will be shaped by the choices organisations and society make today. There will be **fewer roles defined by routine and repetition, and more defined by creativity, strategy, and human connection**. Organisations will likely become more project-oriented, flexible, and tech-integrated. Hierarchies may flatten and internal boundaries blur. To thrive, both companies and workers must embrace adaptability. Lifelong learning will be standard - the half-life of skills is shortening, so continuous upskilling is the new norm. Organisational agility, inclusivity, and purpose

will be critical to attract and retain the best human talent, who increasingly will have AI at their side.

It's a time of uncertainty, but also great possibility. Those individuals and companies that answer this question proactively - focusing on roles where humans excel and combining them with AI's strengths - will lead in the AI-augmented era. And as jobs evolve, so too must our organisations' design, ensuring that structure and strategy align with the new reality of a blended workforce.

Having negotiated through the changes AI brings to agents, work, skills, strategy, people, governance, and jobs throughout this book, we arrive at our final chapter. I will conclude by looking at how to prepare for this AI-augmented era - turning many of these insights into action so that you, the reader, can chart a course forward with confidence.

Chapter 10. Preparing for the AI-Augmented Era

The age of AI in the workforce is no longer a distant future or science fiction - it is here, unfolding around us. Throughout this e-book, I have explored how AI agents are rising as digital colleagues, how the very definition of the workforce is being rewritten, how skills and assignments are becoming fluid concepts spanning humans and machines, how IT and HR roles are converging to manage AI talent, how strategy must pivot to focus on outcomes, how employees can be empowered (or disempowered) by AI, how vital governance and trust are, and how jobs and organisations are set to transform. Now, as I draw to a close, the focus turns to **action**: how do we prepare ourselves - as individuals, as leaders, and as organisations - for the AI-augmented era?

For organisations, preparation begins with a clear-eyed assessment of where you stand. Consider performing an "AI readiness audit." Examine your current processes and identify opportunities where AI could drive significant improvement or unlock new value. At the same time, assess your data infrastructure, technology stack, and talent. Are they sufficient to support AI initiatives? Many companies find that their data is siloed or not of high quality, which can be a barrier - cleaning and unifying data is a foundational step. On the talent side, gauge your workforce's current skill levels in relation to AI: how many are knowledgeable, how many need training? Also identify potential internal champions - people who are enthusiastic about AI and can pilot projects or evangelise its use responsibly.

Based on this assessment, **develop a roadmap** that aligns with your business strategy (remember: outcome-driven). This roadmap should prioritise a few high-impact, achievable AI projects as phase 1. Early successes are important for learning and building momentum. It might be automating a specific process or implementing a decision-support AI in a certain department. Use those to refine your approach and demonstrate value. At the same time, lay the groundwork for broader change: start creating the governance frameworks and cross-functional teams that will oversee AI. Involve stakeholders from across the business early, so AI isn't seen as an "IT project" but as a joint effort.

Invest in your people. This cannot be stressed enough - the best technology will flop if your people aren't on board or capable. Develop training programmes (or leverage external ones) to upskill employees at all levels. Perhaps introduce an AI literacy course company-wide, and more advanced workshops for specific roles. Encourage a culture of experimentation and learning. One practical idea is to establish an internal **AI innovation team**, where employees can bring problems and work with data scientists or AI experts to try solving them with AI tools. This hands-on exposure demystifies AI and often surfaces great use cases.

Communicate the vision and plan transparently. Leadership should articulate why the company is embracing AI - how it ties to the mission, how it will benefit customers, what it means for employees. Acknowledge both excitement and concerns. For example, if certain jobs may change, be honest about it and describe how you intend to support those employees through the transition (whether via retraining or reassignments). People are more likely to rally behind change if they understand the rationale and see a place for themselves in the future state. Regular updates on AI projects and open forums for Q&A can further build trust and engagement.

Weave AI considerations into your **organisational strategy and design**. If you are updating your 3- or 5-year business plan, AI should feature prominently, not as a side-note but as an enabler for most objectives. In parallel, review your organisational structure to ensure it's best-placed for AI integration. Do teams have the autonomy to adopt AI tools quickly? Is IT integrated with business units to support them? Are you prepared to handle a hybrid workforce (like adjusting management practices for teams that include AI systems)? Some companies create a central AI Centre of Excellence - a team that develops best practices, shares knowledge, and maybe builds common AI components - which can accelerate adoption across silos.

On the **risk and ethics front**, set your principles early. Decide on clear guardrails (e.g., "We will not use AI in ways that compromise customer privacy or that we can't explain to customers"). Create an ethics charter for AI that aligns with your corporate

values. Start implementing the oversight mechanisms detailed earlier - this will not only prevent issues but also build confidence among stakeholders that your approach to AI is thoughtful and principled. When people trust that AI is being used responsibly, they are more likely to accept and adopt it.

For individual professionals, preparing for the AI-augmented era means embracing lifelong learning and adaptability. Regardless of your field - be it finance, marketing, healthcare, law, manufacturing - AI will impact how you work. Proactively seek to understand the AI tools emerging in your domain. Experiment with them if you can; for example, if you're a marketer, play with AI content generation to see its current capabilities and limitations. Work on the skills that make you "future-proof." These include technical literacy (not everyone needs to build a neural network, but understanding how AI works conceptually is useful), data literacy (being comfortable with data analysis as AI often feeds on data), and very importantly the human skills: creativity, communication, empathy, strategic thinking. These latter skills will set you apart in an era where routine work is automated.

Network and collaborate - the AI era will blur boundaries between roles, so learning from peers in different disciplines can be incredibly valuable. You might find a colleague in the IT team can teach you how to better use that new AI tool, while you can give them insight into the business context. These cross-domain collaborations can spark innovative uses of AI that no single silo might have conceived alone.

Also, be open-minded but critical about AI. It's neither magic nor menace; it's a technology created by humans, with strengths and flaws. Cultivate a mindset where you trust data and AI when justified, but always apply your judgment and ethical lens. This "augmented" mindset - using AI for what it's good at, and applying human insight on top - will likely become a hallmark of the most effective professionals.

On a broader societal level, preparing for the AI-augmented era will involve policy dialogues, education system evolution, and possibly new social safety nets. Businesses and professionals can contribute to this by participating in public discussions, sharing best practices, and ensuring that as we implement AI, we also consider impacts on employment and equity. Public-private partnerships might become important for reskilling large segments of the workforce. Businesses that lead in AI should also lead in being responsible corporate citizens, helping shape an AI-driven economy that benefits many, not a few.

To conclude, **the AI-augmented era is not about choosing between humans and AI - it's about creating the optimal partnership between them.** Those organisations that succeed will be the ones that amplify human potential with AI capabilities. They will have employees who are not fearful of AI, but empowered by it; leaders who champion innovation while safeguarding values; and operations that are efficient yet agile and creative.

As we stand on the cusp of this transformation, it's worth remembering that technology changes, but human nature and needs remain. Work in the future will still be about solving problems, serving others, and creating value - we'll just have far more powerful tools at our disposal. The organisations that thrive will be those that **keep people at the centre**: using AI to augment human strengths, not replace them; to eliminate drudgery, not meaning; to extend reach, not cut connection. With preparation and thoughtful action, we can ensure that the future of the workforce in the AI era is one where both businesses and their people flourish, together achieving heights that neither could alone.

References

World Economic Forum. (2020). *The Future of Jobs Report 2020*. Link: https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2020

World Economic Forum. (2023). *The Future of Jobs Report 2023*. Link: https://www.weforum.org/publications/the-future-of-jobs-report-2023

Microsoft. (2024). *Leading the AI Revolution: Insights from Microsoft's Work Trend Index*. Link: https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/industry/microsoft-in-business/future-of-work/2025/04/25/leading-the-ai-revolution-insights-from-microsofts-work-trend-index/

NTT DATA. (2024). *81% of leaders seek clearer AI leadership to avoid risk and support innovation*. Link: https://services.global.ntt/en-us/newsroom/81-percent-of-leaders-seek-clearer-ai-leadership-to-avoid-risk-and-support-innovation

Diligent. (2024). *Public Leaders' Thoughts on AI*. Link: https://www.diligent.com/resources/blog/public-leaders-thoughts-AI

Axios. (2024). *Workplace AI distrust lingers despite excitement*. Link: https://www.axios.com/2024/01/10/workplaces-ai-distrust-survey

IBM. (2024). *AI Upskilling: Making AI Real for Your Workforce*. Link: https://www.ibm.com/think/insights/ai-upskilling

Workday. (2024). *Global Impact Report*. Link: https://www.workday.com/content/dam/web/en-us/documents/other/workday-global-impact-report.pdf

Spire.AI. (2024). *Skill Mapping Use Case*. Link: https://spire.ai/use-cases/skill-mapping

IE University. (2023). *The Humanities in Technology: Building Both Careers and a More Human Tech-First World*. Link: https://www.ie.edu/uncover-ie/the-humanities-in-technology-building-both-careers-and-a-more-human-tech-first-world/

Harvard Business Review. (2019). *The Future of Leadership Development*. Link: https://hbr.org/2019/03/the-future-of-leadership-development

U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). (2023). *AI Risk Management Framework*. Link: https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework

The Digital Iceberg

www.thedigitaliceberg.com