

ISSUE 08
OCTOBER 2025



AI Journey

The Timeless Allure

We've always craved certainty,
even when it doesn't exist.

Meet the Modern Prophets

Big voices, bold claims, and a
history of being wrong.

When Predictions Fail

From gurus to Wall Street, the
track record speaks for itself.

Planning beats Prophecy

The future can't be predicted, but
it can be prepared for.

You're Not Going to Predict the Next Market Crash

Introduction – why we're all addicted to predictions

Stand in any café or scroll through your favourite finance forum and you'll hear it: *"This market is going to crash soon."* Predictions of doom swirl like gossip. We humans have always been fascinated by prophecy. We used to read sheep guts; now we consult charts on CNBC. Whether it's a groundhog predicting spring, an octopus foretelling football results, or an oracle whispering about the future, we *love* someone telling us what will happen. In the investing world these forecasts have high stakes, if you knew when the next crash was coming you could protect your portfolio or even profit massively. That makes the allure of market gurus powerful.

This e-book explores a simple question: *Are market crash predictions worth listening to?* We will look at the evidence, the psychology, the incentives and the rare exceptions. Along the way we will consider why humans seek patterns, examine the track records of famous forecasters, and outline a sensible framework for navigating uncertainty. Importantly, this is **not** financial advice; it's a reflection on human behaviour and a plea for scepticism.

How this book is structured

To help you navigate the topic, I have organised the content into themed sections:

- **The timeless allure of prophecy:** why predictions appeal to us and the cognitive biases at work.
- **Do market experts really see the future?** – analysis of research into the accuracy of financial forecasts.
- **When predictions fail:** specific examples of recent years' forecasts and how they compared to reality.
- **Meet the modern prophets:** case studies of Michael Burry, Jamie Dimon and Jim Cramer.
- **Why do we keep listening?** – examining the incentives behind forecasting and our susceptibility to narratives.

- **The rare exceptions:** examining genuine outliers such as Jim Simons' Medallion Fund.
- **Planning beats prophesying:** outlining principles for investors who want to prepare rather than predict.
- **Conclusion:** a final reflection on uncertainty and what we can control.

Feel free to jump to sections that interest you. For those who like to skim, I have used bullet lists and highlighted key takeaways throughout. I hope the structure makes the complex subject digestible!

Part 1 – The timeless allure of prophecy

Why we long for certainty

Why are humans so attracted to predictions? The desire for certainty is wired into our brains. We evolved to search for patterns because our ancestors who noticed subtle cues, the rustle of a predator or the changing colour of fruit, survived. Spotting patterns helped us anticipate threats and opportunities. This instinct hasn't left us, but in modern life it sometimes misfires. We search for patterns in the stock market and attribute meaning to random price swings. Psychologists call this **apophenia** this is "the human tendency to see patterns in meaningless data"[\[1\]](#). We look at charts of share prices like we look at clouds; sometimes we see a tiger when there isn't one.

The term apophenia was coined in 1958 by neurologist **Klaus Conrad**[\[1\]](#). It describes our propensity to connect unrelated dots. We humans see faces in toast, hear messages when songs are played backwards and, in finance, interpret random market noise as a signal of an impending crash. This predisposition once helped us survive; better to mistake a shadow for a predator than to ignore a real threat. In investing, however, it can lead us to fall for false prophets.

The emotional cocktail of fear and control

Market crash predictions tap into two deep emotions: **fear** and **control**. Fear is obvious; the memory of 1929, 2000, or 2008 lingers. We want to avoid pain. Control is subtler; it feels safer to believe someone knows what's coming. When the world is uncertain, hearing a confident prediction is comforting. It reduces anxiety by giving the illusion that chaos can be tamed.

Consider how this works in the modern media cycle. Headlines like "The next crash will be worse than 2008" or "Sell everything now" go viral because they evoke fear and urgency. Social media amplifies the effect; a single tweet can trigger widespread anxiety. Our pattern-seeking brains then connect the message to random market

movements, confirming the prophecy in our minds. We share the post, generating more clicks, ad revenue and notoriety for the predictor.

The role of narrative in investing

Humans are storytellers. We make sense of the world through narratives. Market pundits craft stories about why the market will rise or fall. These stories often connect disparate events like interest rates, geopolitical tension, corporate earnings into a coherent tale. Even if the story is wrong, our brains prefer it to randomness. This narrative bias means that bold predictions can seem more plausible simply because they are part of a good story.

In the following sections we will see how these psychological tendencies combine with incentives and media dynamics to create a relentless appetite for market prophecy.

Part 2 – Do market experts really see the future?

The CXO Advisory study – measuring forecast accuracy

One of the most comprehensive analyses of market forecasts was performed by **CXO Advisory**, a research firm that tracked and graded financial “gurus.” Between 2005 and 2012, CXO evaluated **6,582 forecasts** from **68 prominent market experts**[\[2\]](#). These forecasters included investment newsletter writers, hedge fund managers and media personalities. The goal was to check whether any of them could consistently anticipate market movements better than chance.

The results were striking. On average, the gurus’ predictions were correct only **46.9% of the time**[\[2\]](#). A coin flip would have done better. Only a handful achieved accuracy above 60%. Many scored below 50%, which means following them would have been worse than random. When CXO plotted the distribution of forecast errors, the curve resembled a normal distribution centred around zero, suggesting the forecasts were essentially **indistinguishable from random chance**[\[3\]](#).

CXO also found that no expert consistently outperformed. Some had good runs followed by poor ones. There were no “masters of the market,” just occasional lucky streaks. The study concluded that **“the accuracy of market forecasts is virtually indistinguishable from a coin toss”**[\[2\]](#).

Lessons from the Federal Reserve and 2008

It isn’t only media pundits who miss. Even large institutions struggle. In 2007 the **Federal Reserve** forecast that U.S. GDP growth would be **2.6%** in 2008; actual

growth turned out to be **-3.3%**, an error of **5.9 percentage points**[\[4\]](#). The Fed's own downside risk assessments assigned less than a **15% probability** to a recession as severe as the Great Recession until autumn 2008[\[5\]](#). This shows that even with economic models and reams of data, official forecasters can completely miss turning points.

False precision in annual targets

Every December, Wall Street analysts publish **year-end price targets** for the **S&P 500**. Investors devour these numbers. Yet the historical record demonstrates how unreliable such targets are. From 2000 to 2023 the median Wall Street forecast missed the S&P 500's year-end result by **13.8 percentage points** on average[\[6\]](#). In 2024, for example, forecasters predicted the index would finish at around **4,861** (implying negligible growth), but by October 2024 the S&P 500 was up **21.5%**[\[6\]](#).

Analysts often err by underestimating bull markets. In 2023 Wall Street strategists collectively predicted single-digit returns, yet the index gained **27%**[\[7\]](#). Consensus estimates have missed the mark in **13 of the past 16 years**, often by about **10 percentage points**[\[8\]](#). A survey of 15 firms at the start of 2025 produced a wide range of forecasts, with predictions for the S&P 500 spanning from **6,000 to 7,100** and a median of **6,600**[\[9\]](#). That huge spread underscores how little agreement there is even among professionals.

Summary of forecast accuracy

The evidence suggests that neither gurus, institutions nor Wall Street strategists can reliably predict market direction. Some may get a call right, but over time they perform no better than random. Yet these forecasts still attract attention and shape behaviour. In the next section we will look at how recent forecasts compared to reality.

Part 3 – When predictions fail: recent case studies

2023 and the rise of artificial intelligence

Going into 2023, many analysts and media personalities warned that the market was overvalued and a recession was imminent. A survey of strategists predicted the S&P 500 would gain less than **10%**[\[7\]](#). In reality the index rose **27%**[\[7\]](#). The boom was driven by enthusiasm around **artificial intelligence**, with stocks like Nvidia soaring over **170%** in 2024[\[10\]](#). Yet predictions of a crash dominated headlines. This

highlights how new narratives (AI) can emerge and drive markets in ways that forecasters miss.

2024: recession fears and interest rate misfires

At the start of 2024 the mood was cautious. Wall Street's average forecast for the S&P 500 was **4,861**[\[6\]](#), roughly flat from the previous year. Several high-profile analysts predicted the market would fall. **Doug Kass** of Seabreeze Partners said the index would decline **5–10%**, while **BCA Research** forecast a **25% drop**. Others predicted interest rates would be slashed early in the year, anticipating up to **six rate cuts**. By December 2024 none of these scenarios had materialised; the S&P 500 was up more than **27%** and the Federal Reserve cut rates only **twice or three times**.

Recession calls also flopped. Several economists, including **Harry Dent** and **David Rosenberg**, warned that an inverted yield curve signalled an inevitable recession, but the U.S. economy continued to grow. This pattern repeated throughout 2024: dire forecasts received attention, yet the market defied them.

2025 predictions and the range of uncertainty

Heading into 2025, consensus again leaned positive, predicting a continued bull market. A **survey of 15 Wall Street firms** produced a median S&P 500 target of **6,600**, with estimates ranging from **6,000 to 7,100**[\[9\]](#). That range implies anything from a modest decline to a strong rally. Analysts acknowledged their track records have underestimated returns every year since 2019 (except 2022)[\[9\]](#). Some argued that the bull market, fuelled by technology and resilient consumer spending, could continue; others cautioned that valuations were stretched and higher interest rates might bite.

Lessons from repeated misses

From 2018 to 2024 consensus price targets never fell within **10%** of the actual S&P 500 year-end result[\[11\]](#). The misses ranged from underestimating the market by **26%** to overestimating by **21%**[\[11\]](#). As a group, strategists have repeatedly predicted lower returns than materialised, except in the rare instance when the market actually fell (2022). The pattern suggests caution against basing decisions on annual targets.

Historical mispredictions – a trip down memory lane

Forecasting errors are not confined to recent years. A review of past decades reveals famous experts making spectacularly wrong calls:

- **1929 – The “permanently high plateau.”** On the eve of the Wall Street Crash, influential economist **Irving Fisher** declared that stock prices had



reached “**what looks like a permanently high plateau**”[\[12\]](#). Within days, the Dow Jones collapsed by more than 12% and the world plunged into the Great Depression. Fisher’s misplaced optimism shows that even brilliant minds can miss turning points.

- **2008 – “This will all blow over.”** As the sub-prime mortgage crisis unfolded, economist and TV personality **Ben Stein** tried to calm investors, saying, “*You can panic if you enjoy being panicky. But this will all blow over and the people who buy now, in due time, will be glad they did.*”[\[13\]](#). The housing market soon collapsed, taking down Lehman Brothers and triggering the Great Recession. Those who listened to Stein’s reassurance suffered heavy losses.
- **The 1990 recession that never was.** Author **Ravi Batra** wrote a book predicting a severe recession in 1990. The book’s publishers even retitled it ***The 1990 Recession***[\[14\]](#). Yet the early 1990s were relatively stable. The recession never arrived. This episode highlights how publishers and pundits sometimes repackage cyclical theories into sensational predictions that fail to materialise.
- **Y2K – The bug that didn’t bite.** Leading up to the year 2000, experts warned that a computer glitch would cause chaos. Banks feared their systems would reset or miscalculate interest, costing hundreds of millions of dollars[\[15\]](#). Some even predicted that Y2K could “precipitate a recession”[\[16\]](#). Businesses spent billions to prepare. When midnight struck, minor glitches occurred but the catastrophic failures never happened[\[17\]](#). The doomsayers were wrong.
- **1999–2000 – Dow 36,000 and the dot-com bubble.** During the late 1990s technology boom, some economists proclaimed that the rise of the internet justified unprecedented valuations. In 1999 **James Glassman** and **Kevin Hassett** published ***Dow 36,000***, arguing that stocks were in a “one-time-only” upsurge[\[18\]](#). They predicted the Dow Jones would triple from its then-current level. Instead, the bubble burst in 2000–2001, technology stocks crashed and the **Nasdaq took 15 years** to regain its previous peak[\[19\]](#). The book’s title became a punchline.

These historical miscalls demonstrate that confidently expressed predictions have misled investors for generations. The pattern is clear: experts, no matter how credentialed, frequently fail to foresee major shifts. Recognising this long history can help us resist the allure of the next prophecy.

Part 4 – Meet the modern prophets: Burry, Dimon and Cramer

Michael Burry – the reluctant Cassandra

Michael Burry gained fame for correctly calling the 2008 housing crash, immortalised in the film *The Big Short*. Since then he has cultivated a mystique; his Twitter handle is “Cassandra,” referencing the Trojan princess cursed to utter true prophecies that no one believes. Burry’s followers scrutinise his every move, from his 13F filings to his cryptic tweets.

However, his post-2008 track record is mixed. There are claims that Burry has predicted a market crash every year since the financial crisis. While some of these attributions are exaggerated, he has issued several bearish warnings that didn’t pan out. In early 2023 Burry tweeted a single word, “**Sell.**” Within weeks the market continued rising. On **30 March 2023** he tweeted: “*I was wrong to say sell,*” congratulating dip buyers for holding through volatility[\[20\]](#). His candid admission highlights how even a celebrated forecaster can misjudge timing.

Jamie Dimon – the perpetual doomsayer

As the CEO of JPMorgan Chase, **Jamie Dimon** wields enormous influence. He is often interviewed about the economy and markets. Dimon has a penchant for warning about potential recessions. In 2022 he described an economic “hurricane” coming; in 2023 he forecast a recession within six to nine months; later that year he doubled down. In 2024 he again said a recession was likely, and in **October 2025** he predicted a recession in **2026**[\[21\]](#). These warnings have been so frequent that jokes circulate saying he has predicted “22 of the last 3 recessions.”

Interestingly, during the periods when Dimon issued his dire pronouncements the S&P 500 often rallied. Out of his five recent recession calls, the index surged in **four** of those times[\[21\]](#). Dimon is a smart banker, but his macro calls have not been reliable. Some critics argue that as a bank CEO he has incentives to temper investor exuberance or to hedge his firm’s risks. Whatever his motives, his track record illustrates the difficulty of timing economic downturns.

Jim Cramer – entertainer or oracle?

No list of market prophets would be complete without **Jim Cramer**, host of CNBC’s **Mad Money**. Cramer is known for his loud, frenetic style and rapid-fire stock picks. In 2021 alone he made **725 buy and sell recommendations** (651 buys and 74 sells)[\[22\]](#). This volume is impossible for any individual investor to follow carefully. Critics argue that Cramer has become a TV entertainer rather than a serious portfolio

manager. The internet even created an “**Inverse Cramer ETF**”, a tongue-in-cheek fund that bets against his calls.

Analyses of Cramer’s picks yield mixed results, but many find his performance uninspiring. Following every recommendation would require constant trading and high transaction costs. Some of his calls have been spectacularly wrong (e.g. recommending Bear Stearns right before its collapse), while others have been right. Ultimately his role is to entertain and engage viewers, not to guarantee returns. As one article put it, Cramer is the “**stock market jester**”[\[22\]](#). Tuning in can be fun, but building a portfolio based on his latest picks is risky.

Common themes in modern prophets’ predictions

While Michael Burry, Jamie Dimon and Jim Cramer come from different backgrounds, they share certain characteristics:

1. **Fame from past success or position.** Each gained credibility from a prior achievement (calling the 2008 crash, leading a top bank, running a hedge fund).
2. **Frequent public predictions.** All three issue regular forecasts or comments that are widely shared.
3. **Mixed or poor track records.** After their big wins or influential positions, their subsequent calls have been inconsistent or wrong.
4. **Large followings and media amplification.** Social media and 24-hour financial news amplify their pronouncements, increasing their influence despite mediocre results.
5. **Potential incentives beyond accuracy.** Whether to sell books, attract clients, hedge institutional risk or maintain viewer ratings, each has reasons to keep talking.

Recognising these traits can help us view their statements with a critical eye.

Part 5 – Why do we keep listening? Incentives and biases

Media incentives – content and clicks

Financial media operate 24/7. Networks and websites need constant content. A dramatic prediction like “Crash coming in six months!” is more clickable than a measured assessment. Sensational headlines generate advertising revenue. This

creates an incentive to invite forecasters who are willing to make bold calls. Balanced commentary may be more accurate, but it doesn't drive traffic.

Research on guru forecasts found that **experts who deliver extreme messages tend to gain more followers** because they feed into the greed and fear of audiences[2]. This extreme bias isn't just in the content; it's in the selection process. Producers choose guests who will stimulate debate, not those who will say "it's uncertain." Thus, the financial news ecosystem perpetuates polarising predictions.

Forecasters' incentives – business models and ego

Why do pundits keep predicting despite poor accuracy? The rewards of being right once are huge. A correct call can make a career. Authors sell books, advisors attract clients, fund managers secure capital. Meanwhile the costs of being wrong are small. If a forecast fails, the pundit can move the goalposts ("I was early") or the audience soon forgets. This asymmetry of high upside, low downside encourages constant predictions.

Forecasters may also talk their own book. A fund manager heavy in cash might publicly warn of a crash to justify their positioning. A bank CEO might emphasise risks to encourage conservative behaviour. Even those without direct conflicts may enjoy the ego boost of being seen as a visionary. It's human nature to want to be listened to.

Our cognitive biases – pattern seeking and narrative

On the receiving end, investors are predisposed to believe predictions. We have already discussed **apophenia**[1]. Another bias is **confirmation bias** where we selectively accept information that supports our existing views. If you are worried about a crash, you will gravitate towards pundits who confirm your fear. There is also **authority bias** where we overvalue the opinions of well-known figures (like Dimon or Burry) simply because of their status. Add **recency bias**, where we overweight recent events (e.g. the memory of the 2008 crash), and you have a potent mix that makes us receptive to pessimistic forecasts.

Luck versus skill – Taleb's coin-flip experiment

Statistician and philosopher **Nassim Nicholas Taleb** illustrates the difference between luck and skill with a thought experiment. Imagine **10,000 investors** who each flip a coin every year to decide whether to bet on the market rising or falling. After five years, purely by chance, around **313 investors** will have guessed correctly every time[23]. These investors will look like geniuses; some may attract significant investment or media attention. Yet their success was nothing more than a run of heads. Taleb argues that in a field with many participants and a lot of randomness (like the stock market), **some apparent "geniuses" are just lucky streaks**.

This has real-world implications. When we see a guru who made one brilliant call, we may attribute it to skill, but it might be an outlier. As Taleb notes, **“A population entirely composed of bad managers will produce a small amount of great track records”**[\[23\]](#). Without a way to distinguish luck from skill, we risk following someone based on a chance outcome.

The scoreboard problem – lack of accountability

In sports, players’ statistics are tracked. In finance there is no universal scoreboard for forecasters. Media rarely display a pundit’s past accuracy. If CNBC showed an accuracy rate next to each talking head, viewers might be more sceptical. But because there is no clear accountability, gurus continue to pontificate with little penalty for being wrong. The result is a marketplace of predictions with few incentives for accuracy.

Summary

We keep listening to predictions because our brains crave patterns, stories and authority; media and forecasters supply them because it pays; and there is little feedback to dissuade inaccuracy. These forces combine to create a perpetual cycle of prophecy.

Part 6 – The rare exceptions: when someone actually beats the market

It would be misleading to claim that no one ever outperforms. There are rare individuals and organisations that have delivered extraordinary returns. The danger is assuming such success is easily replicable or publicly accessible.

Jim Simons and the Medallion Fund

The most famous example is **Jim Simons**, a mathematician who founded **Renaissance Technologies**. Simons’ flagship **Medallion Fund** delivered returns that are almost mythical. Between 1988 and 2018 the fund generated an average annual return of about **66% before fees**, turning **\$100** into roughly **\$398 million**[\[23\]](#). Even net of fees, \$100 invested in Medallion in 1988 would have grown to over **\$2.1 million** by 2018[\[24\]](#), while the S&P 500 returned around **914%** in the same period[\[24\]](#).

How did Simons do it? He employed complex quantitative models and high-frequency trading. The fund was incredibly secretive; it stopped accepting outside investors in 1993. To this day the exact strategies are unknown. The key point is that **true market-beating skill, when it exists, is not shared publicly**. Simons never went

on television to reveal his trades or predict crashes. He quietly built a money-making machine and kept it to himself.

Why you can't copy Simons

Simons' story shows that rare outliers exist. However, they are exceptions. They usually operate privately, rely on proprietary technology and do not broadcast their insights. For ordinary investors, chasing gurus in the hope of finding the next Simons is likely to lead to disappointment. It's a bit like watching a magician and assuming you can replicate the trick without knowing the method.

The lottery of skill

When we see someone like Jim Simons, we may be tempted to believe that there are hidden "gurus" out there. But remember Taleb's coin-flip thought experiment. In a huge field of participants, some will achieve outstanding results just by chance. A few genuine geniuses also exist, but they're nearly impossible to identify in advance and rarely share their edge. For most of us, it's safer to assume that our favourite TV personality is not the next Simons.

Part 7 – Planning beats prophesying

What is an investor to do in a world where predictions are seductive but unreliable? The evidence suggests that instead of trying to dodge crashes, we should prepare for them as an inevitable part of investing. Here I outline principles and frameworks to help you manage your portfolio and emotions without needing a crystal ball. Again, this is **general educational information** and not personalised advice.

Accept that crashes happen

Market declines are a feature, not a bug. Since 1929 the U.S. stock market has been positive about **78% of the time**[\[25\]](#). Bear markets (declines of 20% or more) occur on average every few years. Many of the stock market's **strongest days** occur during or just after bear markets; **42%** of the S&P 500's best days happen during a bear market and **36%** occur in the first two months of a new bull market[\[26\]](#). This means that if you sell when things look bleak, you risk missing the rebounds.

Don't miss the best days

A study by Hartford Funds found that **78% of the stock market's best days occur during bear markets or within two months of the start of a bull market**[\[27\]](#). Missing just the **10 best days** over a 30-year period cuts your overall return in half; missing the **30 best days** slashes your returns by **83%**[\[27\]](#). These "best days" often

come amid volatility, when sentiment is lowest. They are unpredictable. To catch them, you need to stay invested through the downturns.

Time in the market beats timing the market

The famous investor **Kenneth Fisher** summarised it succinctly: “**Time in the market beats timing the market**”[\[28\]](#). No one knows what the market will do next week or next quarter. But over longer periods, staying invested has historically generated growth. Attempting to jump in and out based on predictions requires getting two decisions right (when to sell, when to buy back). This is a feat even professionals struggle to accomplish consistently.

Build an all-weather portfolio

Rather than chasing tips, build a portfolio suited to your goals, time horizon and risk tolerance. Key components include:

1. **Diversification:** Spread your investments across different geographies, sectors and asset classes. When one region or sector underperforms, another may outperform, smoothing returns.
2. **Asset allocation:** Decide what proportion of your money goes into equities, bonds, cash and alternative assets. Younger investors may tilt toward stocks; those nearing retirement may increase bonds. Adjust the mix as your circumstances change.
3. **Regular rebalancing:** Over time some investments will grow faster than others. Rebalancing (selling some of the winners and buying laggards) keeps your portfolio aligned with your intended allocation and automatically buys low/sells high.
4. **Emergency fund:** Maintain sufficient cash for unexpected expenses. This prevents you from having to sell investments in a downturn.
5. **Low costs:** Minimise fees and taxes by using efficient vehicles such as index funds. High costs erode returns over time.

These principles are well-known, but they work precisely because they don't rely on predictions. They focus on factors you can control.

Align with your risk tolerance and goals

Your investment strategy should reflect how much volatility you can stomach and when you need your money. If a 30% drop would cause sleepless nights or force you to sell prematurely, consider a more conservative allocation. If you have decades before you need the funds, you can afford to endure downturns and may benefit from buying more when prices fall.

Also consider your **time horizon**. In the accumulation phase (younger years) you can view crashes as opportunities to buy more at lower prices. In the decumulation phase (retirement), preserving capital becomes more important. That might mean shifting some assets into safer instruments, but not necessarily abandoning equities completely.

Develop a written investment policy

To avoid impulsive decisions, write down your investment rules and stick to them. For example, commit to investing a certain amount each month, rebalancing annually, and not selling based on headlines. A written plan acts as a guardrail in turbulent times. It makes it easier to ignore forecasters' dire calls because you have a strategy you trust.

Tune out the noise

Consider limiting your exposure to 24-hour financial news and sensational social media. Instead, schedule periodic check-ins to review your portfolio. This reduces the temptation to react to every prediction. As the digital landscape becomes more frenetic, cultivating a calm information diet is increasingly valuable.

Seek professional advice if needed

If you're uncertain about constructing a portfolio or managing risk, consider consulting a qualified financial adviser. A good adviser will help you clarify your goals and design an appropriate plan. But even then, be wary of anyone promising certainty about market movements. The aim is to create a process you can adhere to, not to chase predictions.

A critical checklist for evaluating predictions

It's one thing to decide not to act on every forecast; it's another to ignore them entirely. When you encounter a prediction, use this checklist to assess its value and your reaction:

1. **Who is making the forecast?** Are they an economist, a fund manager, a TV host or a social media influencer? What is their track record? Do they have a history of accurate calls or mostly misses?
2. **What are their incentives?** Could they benefit if you act on their advice? Are they selling books, subscriptions or financial products? Do they need to fill a 24-hour news cycle? Understanding incentives helps you weigh motives.
3. **Is the prediction specific or vague?** "The market will crash soon" is different from "the S&P 500 will fall 10% by June because interest rates will rise." Vague statements are harder to falsify and often used to create the appearance of foresight.

4. **What evidence supports it?** Does the forecaster provide data or analysis, or is it based on gut feeling? Do they cite multiple sources or cherry-pick anecdotes? Thorough analysis doesn't guarantee accuracy, but it may indicate seriousness.
5. **Is the narrative emotionally charged?** Predictions that appeal to fear or greed are more likely to go viral. Ask yourself if your reaction is emotional or based on careful consideration.
6. **How does it align with your own goals and risk tolerance?** A dire forecast might not matter if you are investing for decades. In contrast, if you are nearing retirement, you might need to review your allocation regardless of predictions.
7. **What if the opposite happens?** Consider the consequences of acting on the forecast if it's wrong. If you sell everything and the market rises, will you be able to buy back in? If you ignore a warning and the market crashes, is your portfolio robust enough to withstand it?
8. **Does it change the big picture?** As the Blue Sky article notes, *a single event or market shift shouldn't dictate your long-term financial goals*[\[29\]](#). Dips and troughs are natural and markets typically recover[\[30\]](#). Ask whether the prediction truly requires changing your long-term strategy or whether it is just noise.

By running predictions through this filter, you can remain informed without becoming hostage to headlines. This practice aligns with the view that you should focus on your unique goals and long-term plan[\[31\]](#) rather than letting a single prophecy cloud your judgment.

Part 8 – Conclusion: embrace uncertainty and focus on what you can control

We humans have always tried to peek into the future. In ancient times we looked to oracles; today we look to market pundits. The mediums change, but the desire for certainty remains. Unfortunately, as the evidence shows, **no one reliably predicts market crashes**.

The **CXO Advisory study** of over **6,500 forecasts** found that experts' predictions were no better than chance[\[2\]](#). Even the Federal Reserve missed the Great Recession by a wide margin[\[4\]](#). Annual price targets regularly miss actual returns by double digits[\[6\]](#). High-profile gurus often get it wrong, whether they are Michael Burry

apologising for his “sell” call[20], Jamie Dimon warning of recessions that don’t arrive[21] or Jim Cramer delivering hundreds of tips with mixed results[22].

We continue to listen because of our brain’s pattern-seeking instincts[1], the persuasive power of narratives and the incentives of media and forecasters. But there is a better approach: accept uncertainty, build a resilient portfolio, stay invested, and manage your own behaviour. **Time in the market beats timing the market**[28].

This doesn’t mean ignoring genuine risks. Markets will crash again. When they do, headlines will proclaim the end of capitalism and gurus will shout “I told you so.” At those moments, remember that bear markets are temporary[25], that the best days often occur during those declines[27] and that staying the course has historically been rewarded. Plan for crashes, don’t predict them.

As you navigate your investing journey, treat bold forecasts as entertainment, not gospel. When you hear someone claim they know what the market will do next, ask yourself: *Are they truly blessed by the divine, or just a social media influencer looking for likes?* Chances are, it’s the latter.

[1] The dangers of apophenia: not everything happens for a reason - Ness Labs

<https://nesslabs.com/apophenia>

[2] [3] A sobering analysis of financial gurus’ market forecasts « Mathematical Investor

<https://mathinvestor.org/2014/03/a-sobering-analysis-of-financial-gurus-market-forecasts/>

[4] [5] The Failure to Forecast the Great Recession - Liberty Street Economics

<https://libertystreeteconomics.newyorkfed.org/2011/11/the-failure-to-forecast-the-great-recession/>

[6] Wall Street's Big Miss: How the Experts Got 2024 So Wrong | The Motley Fool

<https://www.fool.com/investing/2024/10/27/wall-streets-big-miss-how-the-experts-got-2024-so/>

[7] [8] Be skeptical of stock market predictions for the coming year - Nationwide Financial

<https://www.nationwide.com/financial-professionals/blog/markets-economy/articles/be-skeptical-of-stock-market-predictions-for-the-coming-year>

[9] [10] Stocks Surged Again in 2024 — Will the Bull Market Continue? | CD Wealth Management

<https://www.cdwealth.com/article/will-the-bull-market-continue-in-2025/>

[11] Predictions vs. Reality: Lessons from Wall Street's Missed Targets

<https://www.hwmfa.org/post/lessons-from-missed-targets-2024>

[12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [29] [30] [31] 5 times through history the experts got their economic predictions wrong

<https://blueskyifas.co.uk/5-times-through-history-the-experts-got-their-economic-predictions-wrong/>

[20] Michael Burry Goes Back on Advice to 'Sell.' Here's Why. | InvestorPlace

<https://investorplace.com/2023/03/michael-burry-goes-back-on-advice-to-sell-heres-why/>

[21] Jamie Dimon Says US Recession Is Near, Fifth Time In Last Four Years

<https://www.ndtvprofit.com/economy-finance/jamie-dimon-says-us-recession-is-near-fifth-time-in-last-four-years>

[22] Jim Cramer and Stock Picking Performance... Booyah? | Dear Mr. Market:

<https://dearmrmarket.com/2021/12/17/jim-cramer-and-stock-picking-performance-booyah/>

[23] The Medallion Fund - The greatest hedge fund of all time!

<https://www.marketsentiment.co/p/the-medallion-fund>

[24] The Growth of \$100 Invested in Jim Simons' Medallion Fund

<https://www.visualcapitalist.com/growth-of-100-invested-in-jim-simons-medallion-fund/>

[25] [26] 10 Things You Should Know About Bear Markets

<https://www.hartfordfunds.com/practice-management/client-conversations/managing-volatility/bear-markets.html>

[27] The Risk of Being Out of the Market - JMG Financial Group

<https://www.jmgfinancial.com/the-risk-of-being-out-of-the-market/>

[28] Time in the Market Beats Timing the Market | WisdomTree

<https://www.wisdomtree.com/investments/blog/2024/01/18/time-in-the-market-beats-timing-the-market>



**The
Digital
Iceberg**

www.thedigitaliceberg.com